# **Kentucky Power Company**

2011 First Quarter Report

**Financial Statements** 



TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
Glossary of Terms	1
Condensed Statements of Income – Unaudited	2
Condensed Statements of Changes in Common Shareholder's Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Unaudited	3
Condensed Balance Sheets – Unaudited	4
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows – Unaudited	6
Condensed Notes to Condensed Financial Statements – Unaudited	7

- THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK -

#### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

## When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below.

Term Meaning							
AEGCo	AEP Generating Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
AEP or Parent	American Electric Power Company, Inc.						
AEP Credit	AEP Credit, Inc., a subsidiary of AEP which factors accounts receivable and accrued utility revenues for affiliated electric utility companies.						
AEP East companies	APCo, CSPCo, I&M, KPCo and OPCo.						
AEP Power Pool	Members are APCo, CSPCo, I&M, KPCo and OPCo. The Pool shares the						
	generation, cost of generation and resultant wholesale off-system sales of the member companies.						
AEP System or the System	American Electric Power System, an integrated electric utility system, owned and operated by AEP's electric utility subsidiaries.						
AEPSC	American Electric Power Service Corporation, a service subsidiary providing management and professional services to AEP and its subsidiaries.						
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.						
APCo	Appalachian Power Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
$CO_2$	Carbon Dioxide and other greenhouse gases.						
CSPCo	Columbus Southern Power Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
Federal EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency.						
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.						
FTR	Financial Transmission Right, a financial instrument that entitles the holder to						
	receive compensation for certain congestion-related transmission charges						
	that arise when the power grid is congested resulting in differences in						
	locational prices.						
GAAP	Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America.						
I&M	Indiana Michigan Power Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
KPCo	Kentucky Power Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
KPSC	Kentucky Public Service Commission.						
MISO	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator.						
MMBtu	Million British Thermal Units.						
MTM	Mark-to-Market.						
MW	Megawatt.						
OPCo	Ohio Power Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
OPEB	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.						
OTC	Over the counter.						
OVEC	Ohio Valley Electric Corporation, which is 43.47% owned by AEP.						
PJM	Pennsylvania – New Jersey – Maryland regional transmission organization.						
PSO	Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
Risk Management Contracts	Trading and nontrading derivatives, including those derivatives designated as cash flow and fair value hedges.						
Rockport Plant	A generating plant, consisting of two 1,300 MW coal-fired generating units near Rockport, Indiana.						
RTO	Regional Transmission Organization.						
SIA	System Integration Agreement.						
SWEPCo	Southwestern Electric Power Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.						
Utility Money Pool	AEP System's Utility Money Pool.						
VIE	Variable Interest Entity.						

#### KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	2011		2010
REVENUES			
Electric Generation, Transmission and Distribution	\$ 179,09	1 \$	162,496
Sales to AEP Affiliates	16,91	5	11,332
Other Revenues	11	2	90
TOTAL REVENUES	196,11	8	173,918
EXPENSES			
Fuel and Other Consumables Used for Electric Generation	62,83	5	52,922
Purchased Electricity for Resale	5,00	2	4,870
Purchased Electricity from AEP Affiliates	50,47	0	51,997
Other Operation	16,11	5	15,085
Maintenance	10,99	7	8,215
Depreciation and Amortization	13,38	6	13,095
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	2,03	6	3,054
TOTAL EXPENSES	160,84	-1	149,238
OPERATING INCOME	35,27	7	24,680
Other Income (Expense):			
Interest Income	10	6	45
Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction	23	5	217
Interest Expense	(9,19	9)	(9,139)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	26,41	9	15,803
Income Tax Expense	9,54	.9	6,312
NET INCOME	\$ 16,87	<u>'0 </u> \$	9,491

The common stock of KPCo is wholly-owned by AEP.

#### KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Common Paid-in Retained Stock Capital Earnings			Con	cumulated Other nprehensive	Tatal			
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S		SLOCK		Capital	<u> </u>	larnings	Inc	come (Loss)	 Total
EQUITY – DECEMBER 31, 2009	\$	50,450	\$	238,750	\$	143,185	\$	(601)	\$ 431,784
Common Stock Dividends SUBTOTAL – COMMON						(5,000)			 (5,000)
SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY									 426,784
<b>COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>									
Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Taxes:	-								
Cash Flow Hedges, Net of Tax of \$190 NET INCOME						9,491		(352)	(352) 9,491
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME						,471			 9,139
TOTAL COMMON SHADEHOLDEDIS									
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY – MARCH 31, 2010	\$	50,450	\$	238,750	\$	147,676	\$	(953)	\$ 435,923
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S									
EQUITY - DECEMBER 31, 2010	\$	50,450	\$	238,750	\$	157,467	\$	(451)	\$ 446,216
Common Stock Dividends						(5,000)			 (5,000)
SUBTOTAL – COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY									441,216
									 +1,210
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	_								
<b>Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Taxes:</b> Cash Flow Hedges, Net of Tax of \$73								135	135
NET INCOME						16,870			 16,870
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME									 17,005
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S									
EQUITY – MARCH 31, 2011	\$	50,450	\$	238,750	\$	169,337	\$	(316)	\$ 458,221

#### KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS ASSETS March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	2011	2010
CURRENT ASSETS		 
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 656	\$ 281
Advances to Affiliates	93,437	67,060
Accounts Receivable:		
Customers	11,830	25,475
Affiliated Companies	14,109	17,616
Miscellaneous	548	587
Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts	 (665)	 (623)
Total Accounts Receivable	 25,822	 43,055
Fuel	15,848	16,640
Materials and Supplies	18,527	24,378
Risk Management Assets	8,261	8,697
Accrued Tax Benefits	-	1,420
Margin Deposits	4,135	5,357
Prepayments and Other Current Assets	 1,816	 1,497
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	 168,502	 168,385
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		
Electric:		
Generation	554,538	553,589
Transmission	452,684	444,303
Distribution	595,380	590,606
Other Property, Plant and Equipment	63,770	63,982
Construction Work in Progress	 28,569	 34,093
Total Property, Plant and Equipment	1,694,941	1,686,573
Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	 552,435	 542,443
TOTAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT – NET	 1,142,506	 1,144,130
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS		
Regulatory Assets	 210,702	213,593
Long-term Risk Management Assets	8,560	8,030
Deferred Charges and Other Noncurrent Assets	36,412	37,946
TOTAL OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	 255,674	 259,569
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,566,682	\$ 1,572,084

#### KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (Unaudited)

	2011			2010
	(in the			ds)
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts Payable:	<b>•</b>		<i>•</i>	22.22.4
General	\$	29,859	\$	33,334
Affiliated Companies		27,907		45,790
Risk Management Liabilities		4,903		5,959
Customer Deposits		20,042		19,692
Accrued Taxes		26,341		23,741
Accrued Interest		5,821		7,570
Other Current Liabilities		26,433		26,227
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		141,306		162,313
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES				
Long-term Debt – Nonaffiliated		528,930		528,888
Long-term Debt – Affiliated		20,000		20,000
Long-term Risk Management Liabilities		2,866		2,303
Deferred Income Taxes		319,577		316,389
Regulatory Liabilities and Deferred Investment Tax Credits		35,643		34,991
Employee Benefits and Pension Obligations		48,620		49,298
Deferred Credits and Other Noncurrent Liabilities		11,519		11,686
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		967,155		963,555
TOTAL LIABILITIES		1,108,461		1,125,868
Rate Matters (Note 2)				
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 3)				
<b>COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY</b>				
Common Stock – Par Value – \$50 Per Share:				
Authorized – 2,000,000 Shares				
Outstanding – 1,009,000 Shares		50,450		50,450
Paid-in Capital		238,750		238,750
Retained Earnings		169,337		157,467
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		(316)		(451)
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY		458,221		446,216
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY	\$	1,566,682	\$	1,572,084

#### KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands) (Unaudited)

		2011		2010
OPERATING ACTIVITIES			*	
Net Income	\$	16,870	\$	9,491
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		12 296		12 005
Depreciation and Amortization		13,386		13,095
Deferred Income Taxes		2,384		950
Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction		(235)		(217)
Mark-to-Market of Risk Management Contracts		(433)		(3,573)
Fuel Over/Under-Recovery, Net		956		1,665
Change in Other Noncurrent Assets		3,705		3,144
Change in Other Noncurrent Liabilities		645		(391)
Changes in Certain Components of Working Capital:				
Accounts Receivable, Net		17,240		1,721
Fuel, Materials and Supplies		6,643		9,818
Accounts Payable		(20,593)		(11,191)
Customer Deposits		350		625
Accrued Taxes, Net		2,581		5,010
Other Current Assets		876		(1,242)
Other Current Liabilities		163		(4,110)
Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities		44,538		24,795
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Construction Expenditures		(12,515)		(12,980)
Change in Advances to Affiliates, Net		(26,377)		(5,817)
Proceeds from Sales of Assets		90		142
Other Investing Activities		27		(46)
Net Cash Flows Used for Investing Activities		(38,775)		(18,701)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Change in Advances from Affiliates, Net	-	-		(485)
Principal Payments for Capital Lease Obligations		(388)		(437)
Dividends Paid on Common Stock		(5,000)		(5,000)
Net Cash Flows Used for Financing Activities		(5,388)		(5,922)
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		375		172
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		281		494
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	656	\$	666
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION				
Cash Paid for Interest, Net of Capitalized Amounts	\$	10,747	\$	10,535
Net Cash Paid for Income Taxes	Ψ	10,747	Ψ	
Noncash Acquisitions Under Capital Leases				4,108
Construction Expenditures Included in Current Liabilities at March 31,		2,891		1,980
Construction Experientures menuded in Current Elabilities at March 51,		2,071		1,700

#### INDEX OF CONDENSED NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- 1. Significant Accounting Matters
- 2. Rate Matters
- 3. Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies
- 4. Benefit Plans
- 5. Business Segments
- 6. Derivatives and Hedging
- 7. Fair Value Measurements
- 8. Income Taxes
- 9. Financing Activities
- 10. Cost Reduction Initiatives

#### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING MATTERS

#### General

The unaudited condensed financial statements and footnotes were prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete annual financial statements.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed interim financial statements reflect all normal and recurring accruals and adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the net income, financial position and cash flows for the interim periods. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 is not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. The condensed financial statements are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the audited 2010 financial statements and notes thereto, which are included in KPCo's 2010 Annual Report.

Management reviewed subsequent events through May 3, 2011, the date that the 2011 first quarter report was issued.

#### Variable Interest Entities

The accounting guidance for "Variable Interest Entities" is a consolidation model that considers if a company has a controlling financial interest in a VIE. A controlling financial interest will have both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Entities are required to consolidate a VIE when it is determined that they have a controlling financial interest in a VIE and therefore, are the primary beneficiary of that VIE, as defined by the accounting guidance for "Variable Interest Entities." In determining whether KPCo is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, management considers factors such as equity at risk, the amount of the VIE's variability KPCo absorbs, guarantees of indebtedness, voting rights including kick-out rights, the power to direct the VIE and other factors. Management believes that significant assumptions and judgments were applied consistently. There have been no changes to the reporting of VIEs in the financial statements where it is concluded that KPCo is the primary beneficiary. In addition, KPCo has not provided financial or other support to any VIE that was not previously contractually required.

AEPSC provides certain managerial and professional services to AEP's subsidiaries. AEP is the sole equity owner of AEPSC. AEP management controls the activities of AEPSC. The costs of the services are based on a direct charge or on a prorated basis and billed to the AEP subsidiary companies at AEPSC's cost. AEP subsidiaries have not provided financial or other support outside the reimbursement of costs for services rendered. AEPSC finances its operations through cost reimbursement from other AEP subsidiaries. There are no other terms or arrangements between AEPSC and any of the AEP subsidiaries that could require additional financial support from an AEP subsidiary or expose them to losses outside of the normal course of business. AEPSC and its billings are subject to regulation by the FERC. AEP subsidiaries are exposed to losses to the extent they cannot recover the costs of AEPSC through their normal business operations. AEP subsidiaries are considered to have a significant interest in AEPSC due to their activity in AEPSC's cost reimbursement structure. However, AEP subsidiaries do not have control over AEPSC. AEPSC is consolidated by AEP. In the event AEPSC would require financing or other support outside the cost reimbursement billings, this financing would be provided by AEP. KPCo's total billings from AEPSC for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$8 million and \$9 million, respectively. The carrying amount of liabilities associated with AEPSC as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$3 million and \$3 million, respectively. Management estimates the maximum exposure of loss to be equal to the amount of such liability.

AEGCo, a wholly-owned subsidiary of AEP, is consolidated by AEP. AEGCo owns a 50% ownership interest in Rockport Plant Unit 1 and leases a 50% interest in Rockport Plant Unit 2. AEGCo sells all the output from the Rockport Plant to I&M and KPCo. AEP guarantees all the debt obligations of AEGCo. KPCo is considered to have a significant interest in AEGCo due to its transactions. KPCo is exposed to losses to the extent it cannot recover the costs of AEGCo through its normal business operations. Due to AEP management's control over AEGCo, KPCo is not considered the primary beneficiary of AEGCo. In the event AEGCo would require financing or other support

outside the billings to KPCo, this financing would be provided by AEP. Total billings from AEGCo for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$23 million and \$24 million, respectively. The carrying amount of liabilities associated with AEGCo as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$7 million and \$10 million, respectively. Management estimates the maximum exposure of loss to be equal to the amount of such liability.

#### **Related Party Transactions**

#### AEP Power Pool Purchases from OVEC

In March 2011, the AEP Power Pool began purchasing power from OVEC to serve retail sales through June 2011. These purchases are reported in Purchased Electricity for Resale expenses on KPCo's Condensed Statement of Income. KPCo recorded \$519 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

#### Adjustments to Benefit Plans Footnote

In Note 4 – Benefit Plans, the disclosure was expanded for KPCo to reflect certain prior period amounts related to the Net Periodic Benefit Cost that were not previously disclosed. These omissions were not material to the financial statements and had no impact on KPCo's previously reported net income, changes in shareholder's equity, financial position or cash flows.

#### 2. <u>RATE MATTERS</u>

As discussed in KPCo's 2010 Annual Report, KPCo is involved in rate and regulatory proceedings at the FERC and the KPSC. The Rate Matters note within KPCo's 2010 Annual Report should be read in conjunction with this report to gain a complete understanding of material rate matters still pending that could impact net income, cash flows and possibly financial condition. The following discusses ratemaking developments in 2011 and updates KPCo's 2010 Annual Report.

#### FERC Rate Matters

#### Seams Elimination Cost Allocation (SECA) Revenue Subject to Refund

In 2004, AEP eliminated transaction-based through-and-out transmission service (T&O) charges in accordance with FERC orders and collected, at the FERC's direction, load-based charges, referred to as RTO SECA, to partially mitigate the loss of T&O revenues on a temporary basis through March 2006. Intervenors objected to the temporary SECA rates. The FERC set SECA rate issues for hearing and ordered that the SECA rate revenues be collected, subject to refund. The AEP East companies recognized gross SECA revenues of \$220 million from 2004 through 2006 when the SECA rates terminated. KPCo's portion of recognized gross SECA revenues was \$17 million.

In 2006, a FERC Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued an initial decision finding that the SECA rates charged were unfair, unjust and discriminatory and that new compliance filings and refunds should be made. The ALJ also found that any unpaid SECA rates must be paid in the recommended reduced amount.

AEP filed briefs jointly with other affected companies asking the FERC to reverse the decision. In May 2010, the FERC issued an order that generally supports AEP's position and requires a compliance filing to be filed with the FERC by August 2010. In June 2010, AEP and other affected companies filed a joint request for rehearing with the FERC.

The AEP East companies provided reserves for net refunds for SECA settlements totaling \$44 million applicable to the \$220 million of SECA revenues collected. KPCo provided a reserve of \$3.3 million.

Settlements approved by the FERC consumed \$10 million of the reserve for refunds applicable to \$112 million of SECA revenue. In December 2010, the FERC issued an order approving a settlement agreement resulting in the collection of \$2 million of previously deemed uncollectible SECA revenue. Therefore, the AEP East companies reduced their reserves for net refunds for SECA settlements by \$2 million. The balance in the reserve for future settlements as of March 31, 2011 was \$32 million. KPCo's portion of the reserve balance as of March 31, 2011 was \$2.4 million.

In August 2010, the affected companies, including the AEP East companies, filed a compliance filing with the FERC. If the compliance filing is accepted, the AEP East companies would have to pay refunds of approximately \$20 million including estimated interest of \$5 million. The AEP East companies could also potentially receive payments up to approximately \$10 million including estimated interest of \$3 million. KPCo's portion of the potential refund payments and potential payments to be received are \$1.5 million and \$800 thousand, respectively. A decision is pending from the FERC.

Based on the AEP East companies' analysis of the May 2010 order and the compliance filing, management believes that the reserve is adequate to pay the refunds, including interest, that will be required should the May 2010 order or the compliance filing be made final. Management cannot predict the ultimate outcome of this proceeding at the FERC which could impact future net income and cash flows.

#### **Possible Termination of the Interconnection Agreement**

In December 2010, each of the AEP Power Pool members gave notice to AEPSC and each other of their decision to terminate the Interconnection Agreement effective January 2014 or such other date approved by FERC, subject to state regulatory input. No filings have been made at the FERC. It is unknown at this time whether the AEP Power Pool will be replaced by a new agreement among some or all of the members, whether individual companies will enter into bilateral or multi-party contracts with each other for power sales and purchases or asset transfers or if each company will choose to operate independently. This decision to terminate is subject to management's ongoing evaluation. The AEP Power Pool members may revoke their notices of termination. If any of the AEP Power Pool and are unable to recover the change in revenues and costs through rates, prices or additional sales, it could reduce future net income and cash flows.

#### PJM/MISO Market Flow Calculation Settlement Adjustments

During 2009, an analysis conducted by MISO and PJM discovered several instances of unaccounted for power flows on numerous coordinated flowgates. These flows affected the settlement data for congestion revenues and expenses and dated back to the start of the MISO market in 2005. In January 2011, PJM and MISO reached a settlement agreement where the parties agreed to net various issues to zero. This settlement was filed with the FERC in January 2011. PJM and MISO are currently awaiting final approval from the FERC.

#### 3. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES

KPCo is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in its ordinary course of business. In addition, KPCo's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation cannot be predicted. For current proceedings not specifically discussed below, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the financial statements. The Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies note within the 2010 Annual Report should be read in conjunction with this report.

#### **GUARANTEES**

Liabilities for guarantees are recorded in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Guarantees." There is no collateral held in relation to any guarantees. In the event any guarantee is drawn, there is no recourse to third parties.

#### Indemnifications and Other Guarantees

#### Contracts

KPCo enters into certain types of contracts which require indemnifications. Typically these contracts include, but are not limited to, sale agreements, lease agreements, purchase agreements and financing agreements. Generally, these agreements may include, but are not limited to, indemnifications around certain tax, contractual and environmental matters. With respect to sale agreements, exposure generally does not exceed the sale price. As of March 31, 2011, there are no material liabilities recorded for any indemnifications.

KPCo, along with the other AEP East companies, PSO and SWEPCo, are jointly and severally liable for activity conducted by AEPSC on behalf of the AEP East companies, PSO and SWEPCo related to purchase power and sale activity conducted pursuant to the SIA.

#### Master Lease Agreements

KPCo leases certain equipment under master lease agreements. In December 2010, management signed a new master lease agreement with GE Capital Commercial Inc. (GE) to replace existing operating and capital leases with GE. These assets were included in existing master lease agreements that were to be terminated in 2011 since GE exercised the termination provision related to these leases in 2008. Certain assets were not included in the refinancing in 2010, but the remaining assets were purchased in January 2011.

For equipment under the GE master lease agreements, the lessor is guaranteed receipt of up to 78% of the unamortized balance of the equipment at the end of the lease term. If the fair value of the leased equipment is below the unamortized balance at the end of the lease term, KPCo is committed to pay the difference between the fair value and the unamortized balance, with the total guarantee not to exceed 78% of the unamortized balance. For equipment under other master lease agreements, the lessor is guaranteed a residual value up to a stated percentage of either the unamortized balance or the equipment cost at the end of the lease term. If the actual fair value of the leased equipment is below the guaranteed residual value at the end of the lease term, KPCo is committed to pay the difference between the actual fair value and the residual value guarantee. At March 31, 2011, the maximum potential loss for these lease agreements was approximately \$522 thousand assuming the fair value of the equipment is zero at the end of the lease term. Historically, at the end of the lease term the fair value has been in excess of the unamortized balance.

#### CONTINGENCIES

#### Carbon Dioxide Public Nuisance Claims

In 2004, eight states and the City of New York filed an action in Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York against AEP, AEPSC, Cinergy Corp, Xcel Energy, Southern Company and Tennessee Valley Authority. The Natural Resources Defense Council, on behalf of three special interest groups, filed a similar complaint against the same defendants. The actions allege that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the defendants' power plants constitute a public nuisance under federal common law due to impacts of global warming and sought injunctive relief in the form of specific emission reduction commitments from the defendants. The trial court dismissed the lawsuits.

In September 2009, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals issued a ruling on appeal remanding the cases to the Federal District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Second Circuit held that the issues of climate change and global warming do not raise political questions and that Congress' refusal to regulate  $CO_2$  emissions does not mean that plaintiffs must wait for an initial policy determination by Congress or the President's administration to secure the relief sought in their complaints. The court stated that Congress could enact comprehensive legislation to regulate  $CO_2$  emissions or that the Federal EPA could regulate  $CO_2$  emissions under existing Clean Air Act authorities and that either of these actions could override any decision made by the district court under federal common law. The Second Circuit did not rule on whether the plaintiffs could proceed with their state common law nuisance claims. In December 2010, the defendants' petition for review by the U.S. Supreme Court was granted. The case was heard in April 2011. Management believes the actions are without merit and intends to continue to defend against the claims.

In October 2009, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed a decision by the Federal District Court for the District of Mississippi dismissing state common law nuisance claims in a putative class action by Mississippi residents asserting that  $CO_2$  emissions exacerbated the effects of Hurricane Katrina. The Fifth Circuit held that there was no exclusive commitment of the common law issues raised in plaintiffs' complaint to a coordinate branch of government and that no initial policy determination was required to adjudicate these claims. The court granted petitions for rehearing. An additional recusal left the Fifth Circuit without a quorum to reconsider the decision and the appeal was dismissed, leaving the district court's decision in place. Plaintiffs filed a petition with the U.S. Supreme Court asking the court to remand the case to the Fifth Circuit and reinstate the panel decision. The petition was denied in January 2011.

Management is unable to determine a range of potential losses that are reasonably possible of occurring.

#### Alaskan Villages' Claims

In 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina, Alaska filed a lawsuit in Federal Court in the Northern District of California against AEP, AEPSC and 22 other unrelated defendants including oil and gas companies, a coal company and other electric generating companies. The complaint alleges that the defendants' emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> contribute to global warming and constitute a public and private nuisance and that the defendants are acting together. The complaint further alleges that some of the defendants, including AEP, conspired to create a false scientific debate about global warming in order to deceive the public and perpetuate the alleged nuisance. The plaintiffs also allege that the effects of global warming will require the relocation of the village at an alleged cost of \$95 million to \$400 million. In October 2009, the judge dismissed plaintiffs' federal common law claim for nuisance, finding the claim barred by the political question doctrine and by plaintiffs' lack of standing to bring the claim. The judge also dismissed plaintiffs' state law claims without prejudice to refiling in state court. The plaintiffs appealed the decision. Briefing is complete and no date has been set for oral argument. The defendants requested that the court defer setting this case for oral argument until after the Supreme Court issues its decision in the CO<sub>2</sub> public nuisance case discussed above. The court entered an order deferring argument until after June 2011. Management believes the action is without merit and intends to defend against the claims. Management is unable to determine a range of potential losses that are reasonably possible of occurring.

#### 4. BENEFIT PLANS

KPCo participates in an AEP sponsored qualified pension plan which covers substantially all of KPCo's employees. KPCo also participates in OPEB plans sponsored by AEP to provide medical and life insurance benefits for retired employees.

#### Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

The following table provides the components of KPCo's net periodic benefit cost for the plans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

		Pensio	n Pla	n		Other Post Benefi	retirem t Plans	ent	
	Th	Three Months Ended March 31,				Three Months Ended Man			
		2011		2010	2	2011		2010	
				(in tho	usands)				
Service Cost	\$	347	\$	637	\$	235	\$	265	
Interest Cost		1,439		1,475		728		738	
Expected Return on Plan Assets		(1,837)		(1,913)		(757)		(710)	
Amortization of Transition Obligation		-		-		-		122	
Amortization of Prior Service Cost (Credit)		37		37		(9)		-	
Amortization of Net Actuarial Loss		738		513	_	188		183	
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$	724	\$	749	\$	385	\$	598	

#### 5. <u>BUSINESS SEGMENTS</u>

KPCo has one reportable segment, an integrated electricity generation, transmission and distribution business. KPCo's other activities are insignificant.

#### 6. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

#### **OBJECTIVES FOR UTILIZATION OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS**

KPCo is exposed to certain market risks as a major power producer and marketer of wholesale electricity, coal and emission allowances. These risks include commodity price risk, interest rate risk, credit risk and, to a lesser extent, foreign currency exchange risk. These risks represent the risk of loss that may impact KPCo due to changes in the underlying market prices or rates. AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, manages these risks using derivative instruments.

#### STRATEGIES FOR UTILIZATION OF DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS TO ACHIEVE OBJECTIVES

#### **Trading Strategies**

The strategy surrounding the use of derivative instruments for trading purposes focuses on seizing market opportunities to create value driven by expected changes in the market prices of the commodities in which AEPSC transacts on behalf of KPCo.

#### **Risk Management Strategies**

The strategy surrounding the use of derivative instruments focuses on managing risk exposures, future cash flows and creating value utilizing both economic and formal hedging strategies. To accomplish these objectives, AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, primarily employs risk management contracts including physical forward purchase and sale contracts, financial forward purchase and sale contracts and financial swap instruments. Not all risk management contracts meet the definition of a derivative under the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging." Derivative risk management contracts elected normal under the normal purchases and normal sales scope exception are not subject to the requirements of this accounting guidance.

AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, enters into power, coal, natural gas, interest rate and, to a lesser degree, heating oil and gasoline, emission allowance and other commodity contracts to manage the risk associated with the energy business. AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, enters into interest rate derivative contracts in order to manage the interest rate exposure associated with KPCo's commodity portfolio. For disclosure purposes, such risks are grouped as "Commodity," as these risks are related to energy risk management activities. AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, also engages in risk management of interest rate risk associated with debt financing and foreign currency risk associated with future purchase obligations denominated in foreign currencies. The amount of risk taken is determined by the Commercial Operations and Finance groups in accordance with the established risk management policies as approved by the Finance Committee of AEP's Board of Directors.

The following table represents the gross notional volume of the KPCo's outstanding derivative contracts as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

		March 31, 2011	Ι	December 31, 2010	Unit of Measure
		(in tho	usan	ds)	
Commodity:					
Power		33,395		40,277	MWHs
Coal		3,040		3,280	Tons
Natural Gas		657		449	MMBtus
Heating Oil and Gasoline		298		274	Gallons
Interest Rate	\$	9,059	\$	2,008	USD

#### Notional Volume of Derivative Instruments

#### Fair Value Hedging Strategies

AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, enters into interest rate derivative transactions as part of an overall strategy to manage the mix of fixed-rate and floating-rate debt. Certain interest rate derivative transactions effectively modify KPCo's exposure to interest rate risk by converting a portion of KPCo's fixed-rate debt to a floating rate. Provided specific criteria are met, these interest rate derivatives are designated as fair value hedges.

#### Cash Flow Hedging Strategies

AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, enters into and designates as cash flow hedges certain derivative transactions for the purchase and sale of power, coal, natural gas and heating oil and gasoline ("Commodity") in order to manage the variable price risk related to the forecasted purchase and sale of these commodities. Management monitors the potential impacts of commodity price changes and, where appropriate, enters into derivative transactions to protect profit margins for a portion of future electricity sales and fuel or energy purchases. KPCo does not hedge all commodity price risk.

KPCo's vehicle fleet is exposed to gasoline and diesel fuel price volatility. AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, enters into financial heating oil and gasoline derivative contracts in order mitigate price risk of future fuel purchases. For disclosure purposes, these contracts are included with other hedging activity as "Commodity." KPCo does not hedge all fuel price risk.

AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, enters into a variety of interest rate derivative transactions in order to manage interest rate risk exposure. Some interest rate derivative transactions effectively modify exposure to interest rate risk by converting a portion of floating-rate debt to a fixed rate. AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, also enters into interest rate derivative contracts to manage interest rate exposure related to anticipated borrowings of fixed-rate debt. The anticipated fixed-rate debt offerings have a high probability of occurrence as the proceeds will be used to fund existing debt maturities and projected capital expenditures. KPCo does not hedge all interest rate exposure.

At times, KPCo is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks primarily because some fixed assets are purchased from foreign suppliers. In accordance with AEP's risk management policy, AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, may enter into foreign currency derivative transactions to protect against the risk of increased cash outflows resulting from a foreign currency's appreciation against the dollar. KPCo does not hedge all foreign currency exposure.

### ACCOUNTING FOR DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND THE IMPACT ON KPCo's FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging" requires recognition of all qualifying derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value. The fair values of derivative instruments accounted for using MTM accounting or hedge accounting are based on exchange prices and broker quotes. If a quoted market price is not available, the estimate of fair value is based on the best information available including valuation models that estimate future energy prices based on existing market and broker quotes, supply and demand market data and assumptions. In order to determine the relevant fair values of the derivative instruments, KPCo applies valuation adjustments for discounting, liquidity and credit quality.

Credit risk is the risk that a counterparty will fail to perform on the contract or fail to pay amounts due. Liquidity risk represents the risk that imperfections in the market will cause the price to vary from estimated fair value based upon prevailing market supply and demand conditions. Since energy markets are imperfect and volatile, there are inherent risks related to the underlying assumptions in models used to fair value risk management contracts. Unforeseen events may cause reasonable price curves to differ from actual price curves throughout a contract's term and at the time a contract settles. Consequently, there could be significant adverse or favorable effects on future net income and cash flows if market prices are not consistent with management's estimates of current market consensus for forward prices in the current period. This is particularly true for longer term contracts. Cash flows may vary based on market conditions, margin requirements and the timing of settlement of KPCo's risk management contracts.

According to the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging," KPCo reflects the fair values of derivative instruments subject to netting agreements with the same counterparty net of related cash collateral. For certain risk management contracts, KPCo is required to post or receive cash collateral based on third party contractual agreements and risk profiles. For the March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 balance sheets, KPCo netted \$278 thousand and \$400 thousand, respectively, of cash collateral received from third parties against short-term and long-term risk management assets and \$3.8 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, of cash collateral paid to third parties against short-term and long-term risk management liabilities.

The following tables represent the gross fair value impact of KPCo's derivative activity on the Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

#### Fair Value of Derivative Instruments March 31, 2011

		lanagement ontracts		Hedging	Contracts			
<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	Com	Commodity (a)		nodity (a)	Interest Rate (a)	Other (a) (b)		Total
				(in	thousands)			
Current Risk Management Assets	\$	49,242	\$	663	\$ -	\$	(41,644)	\$ 8,261
Long-term Risk Management Assets		19,435	_	146			(11,021)	 8,560
Total Assets		68,677		809			(52,665)	 16,821
Current Risk Management Liabilities		48,455		567	-		(44,119)	4,903
Long-term Risk Management Liabilities		15,409		158			(12,701)	 2,866
Total Liabilities		63,864		725			(56,820)	 7,769
Total MTM Derivative Contract Net								
Assets (Liabilities)	\$	4,813	\$	84	<u> </u>	\$	4,155	\$ 9,052

### Fair Value of Derivative Instruments December 31, 2010

	Risk Management   Contracts Hedging Contracts							
<b>Balance Sheet Location</b>	Com	modity (a)	Comn	nodity (a)	Interest Rate (a)	Ot	her (a) (b)	 Total
				(in	thousands)			
Current Risk Management Assets	\$	60,231	\$	418	\$ -	\$	(51,952)	\$ 8,697
Long-term Risk Management Assets		16,978		148	-		(9,096)	8,030
Total Assets		77,209		566	-		(61,048)	 16,727
Current Risk Management Liabilities		59,107		490	-		(53,638)	5,959
Long-term Risk Management Liabilities		13,265		146	-		(11,108)	 2,303
Total Liabilities		72,372		636			(64,746)	 8,262
Total MTM Derivative Contract Net								
Assets (Liabilities)	\$	4,837	\$	(70)	\$	\$	3,698	\$ 8,465

(a) Derivative instruments within these categories are reported gross. These instruments are subject to master netting agreements and are presented on the Condensed Balance Sheets on a net basis in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging."

(b) Amounts include counterparty netting of risk management and hedging contracts and associated cash collateral in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging." Amounts also include dedesignated risk management contracts.

The table below presents KPCo's activity of derivative risk management contracts for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

#### Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized on Risk Management Contracts For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Location of Gain (Loss)	 2011	2010			
	(in tho	usands)			
Electric Generation, Transmission and Distribution Revenues	\$ 2,101	\$	4,635		
Sales to AEP Affiliates	3		(742)		
Regulatory Assets (a)	93		-		
Regulatory Liabilities (a)	(164)		539		
Total Gain on Risk Management Contracts	\$ 2,033	\$	4,432		

(a) Represents realized and unrealized gains and losses subject to regulatory accounting treatment recorded as either current or noncurrent on the balance sheet.

Certain qualifying derivative instruments have been designated as normal purchase or normal sale contracts, as provided in the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging." Derivative contracts that have been designated as normal purchases or normal sales under that accounting guidance are not subject to MTM accounting treatment and are recognized on the Condensed Statements of Income on an accrual basis.

KPCo's accounting for the changes in the fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it qualifies for and has been designated as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. Depending on the exposure, management designates a hedging instrument as a fair value hedge or a cash flow hedge.

For contracts that have not been designated as part of a hedging relationship, the accounting for changes in fair value depends on whether the derivative instrument is held for trading purposes. Unrealized and realized gains and losses on derivative instruments held for trading purposes are included in Revenues on a net basis on KPCo's Condensed Statements of Income. Unrealized and realized gains and losses on derivative instruments not held for trading purposes are included in Revenues or Expenses on KPCo's Condensed Statements of Income depending on the relevant facts and circumstances. However, unrealized and some realized gains and losses for both trading and non-trading derivative instruments are recorded as regulatory assets (for losses) or regulatory liabilities (for gains), in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Regulated Operations."

#### Accounting for Fair Value Hedging Strategies

For fair value hedges (i.e. hedging the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or an identified portion thereof attributable to a particular risk), the gain or loss on the derivative instrument as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item associated with the hedged risk affects Net Income during the period of change.

KPCo records realized and unrealized gains or losses on interest rate swaps that qualify for fair value hedge accounting treatment and any offsetting changes in the fair value of the debt being hedged in Interest Expense on KPCo's Condensed Statements of Income. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, KPCo did not employ any fair value hedging strategies.

#### Accounting for Cash Flow Hedging Strategies

For cash flow hedges (i.e. hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk), KPCo initially reports the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on the Condensed Balance Sheets until the period the hedged item affects Net Income. KPCo records hedge ineffectiveness as a regulatory asset (for losses) or a regulatory liability (for gains).

Realized gains and losses on derivatives contracts for the purchase and sale of power, coal, natural gas and heating oil and gasoline designated as cash flow hedges are included in Revenues, Fuel and Other Consumables Used for Electric Generation or Purchased Electricity for Resale on KPCo's Condensed Statements of Income, or in Regulatory Assets or Regulatory Liabilities on KPCo's Condensed Balance Sheets, depending on the specific nature of the risk being hedged. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, KPCo designated commodity derivatives as cash flow hedges.

KPCo reclassifies gains and losses on financial fuel derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on its Condensed Balance Sheets into Other Operation expense, Maintenance expense or Depreciation and Amortization expense, as it relates to capital projects, on the Condensed Statements of Income. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, KPCo designated heating oil and gasoline derivatives as cash flow hedges.

KPCo reclassifies gains and losses on interest rate derivative hedges related to debt financings from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) into Interest Expense in those periods in which hedged interest payments occur. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, KPCo did not employ any cash flow hedging strategies for interest rate derivative hedges.

The accumulated gains or losses related to foreign currency hedges are reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on KPCo's Condensed Balance Sheets into Depreciation and Amortization expense on the Condensed Statements of Income over the depreciable lives of the fixed assets that were designated as the hedged items in qualifying foreign currency hedging relationships. During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, KPCo had no foreign currency hedges.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, hedge ineffectiveness was immaterial or nonexistent for all hedge strategies disclosed above.

The following tables provide details on designated, effective cash flow hedges included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on KPCo's Condensed Balance Sheets and the reasons for changes in cash flow hedges for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. All amounts in the following tables are presented net of related income taxes.

#### Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Activity for Cash Flow Hedges For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

	Con	nmodity		rest Rate	Total		
Balance in AOCI as of December 31, 2010	\$	(48)			\$	(451)	
Changes in Fair Value Recognized in AOCI	Ŧ	53	Ŧ	-	+	53	
Amount of (Gain) or Loss Reclassified from AOCI							
to Income Statement/within Balance Sheet:							
Electric Generation, Transmission and Distribution Revenues		(4)		-		(4)	
Purchased Electricity for Resale		87		-		87	
Other Operation Expense		(5)		-		(5)	
Maintenance Expense		(5)		-		(5)	
Interest Expense		-		15		15	
Property, Plant and Equipment		(6)		-		(6)	
Balance in AOCI as of March 31, 2011	\$	72	\$	(388)	\$	(316)	

#### Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Activity for Cash Flow Hedges For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

	Commodity		Inte	rest Rate	Total	
			(in th	ousands)		
Balance in AOCI as of December 31, 2009	\$	(138)	\$	(463)	\$	(601)
Changes in Fair Value Recognized in AOCI		(528)		-		(528)
Amount of (Gain) or Loss Reclassified from AOCI						
to Income Statement/within Balance Sheet:						
Electric Generation, Transmission and Distribution Revenues		25		-		25
Purchased Electricity for Resale		143		-		143
Other Operation Expense		(2)		-		(2)
Maintenance Expense		(3)		-		(3)
Interest Expense		-		15		15
Property, Plant and Equipment		(2)		-		(2)
Balance in AOCI as of March 31, 2010	\$	(505)	\$	(448)	\$	(953)

Cash flow hedges included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on KPCo's Condensed Balance Sheets at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were:

#### Impact of Cash Flow Hedges on the Condensed Balance Sheet March 31, 2011

	Commodity		Interest Rate (in thousands)	Total		
Hedging Assets (a) Hedging Liabilities (a) AOCI Loss Net of Tax	\$	230 (146) 72	(in thousands) \$ - (388)	\$	230 (146) (316)	
Portion Expected to be Reclassified to Net Income During the Next Twelve Months		73	(60)		13	

#### Impact of Cash Flow Hedges on the Condensed Balance Sheet December 31, 2010

	Commodity		<b>Interest Rate</b>		Total
			(in thou	sands)	
Hedging Assets (a)	\$	81	\$	-	\$ 81
Hedging Liabilities (a)		(151)		-	(151)
AOCI Loss Net of Tax		(48)		(403)	(451)
Portion Expected to be Reclassified to Net Income During the Next Twelve Months		(48)		(60)	(108)

(a) Hedging Assets and Hedging Liabilities are included in Risk Management Assets and Liabilities on KPCo's Condensed Balance Sheets.

The actual amounts that KPCo reclassifies from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) to Net Income can differ from the estimate above due to market price changes. As of March 31, 2011, the maximum length of time that KPCo is hedging (with contracts subject to the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging") exposure to variability in future cash flows related to forecasted transactions is 38 months.

#### Credit Risk

AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, limits credit risk in KPCo's wholesale marketing and trading activities by assessing the creditworthiness of potential counterparties before entering into transactions with them and continuing to evaluate their creditworthiness on an ongoing basis. AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, uses Moody's, Standard and Poor's and current market-based qualitative and quantitative data as well as financial statements to assess the financial health of counterparties on an ongoing basis.

AEPSC, on behalf of KPCo, uses standardized master agreements which may include collateral requirements. These master agreements facilitate the netting of cash flows associated with a single counterparty. Cash, letters of credit and parental/affiliate guarantees may be obtained as security from counterparties in order to mitigate credit risk. The collateral agreements require a counterparty to post cash or letters of credit in the event an exposure exceeds the established threshold. The threshold represents an unsecured credit limit which may be supported by a parental/affiliate guaranty, as determined in accordance with AEP's credit policy. In addition, collateral agreements allow for termination and liquidation of all positions in the event of a failure or inability to post collateral.

#### **Collateral Triggering Events**

Under the tariffs of the RTOs and Independent System Operators (ISOs) and a limited number of derivative and non-derivative contracts primarily related to competitive retail auction loads, KPCo is obligated to post an additional amount of collateral if certain credit ratings decline below investment grade. The amount of collateral required fluctuates based on market prices and total exposure. On an ongoing basis, AEP's risk management organization assesses the appropriateness of these collateral triggering items in contracts. Management does not anticipate a downgrade below investment grade. The following table represents: (a) the aggregate fair value of such derivative contracts, (b) the amount of collateral KPCo would have been required to post for all derivative and non-derivative contracts if the credit ratings had declined below investment grade and (c) how much was attributable to RTO and ISO activities as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

		arch 31, 2011		ember 31, 2010	
	(in thousands)				
Liabilities for Derivative Contracts with Credit Downgrade Triggers	\$	1,309	\$	1,368	
Amount of Collateral KPCo Would Have Been Required to Post		3,108		2,614	
Amount Attributable to RTO and ISO Activities		3,108		2,608	

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, KPCo was not required to post any collateral.

In addition, a majority of KPCo's non-exchange traded commodity contracts contain cross-default provisions that, if triggered, would permit the counterparty to declare a default and require settlement of the outstanding payable. These cross-default provisions could be triggered if there was a non-performance event by Parent or the obligor under outstanding debt or a third party obligation in excess of \$50 million. On an ongoing basis, AEP's risk management organization assesses the appropriateness of these cross-default provisions in the contracts. Management does not anticipate a non-performance event under these provisions. The following table represents: (a) the fair value of these derivative liabilities subject to cross-default provisions prior to consideration of contractual netting arrangements, (b) the amount this exposure has been reduced by cash collateral posted by KPCo and (c) if a cross-default provision would have been triggered, the settlement amount that would be required after considering KPCo's contractual netting arrangements as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	March 31, 2011		Dec	ember 31, 2010	
	(in thousands)				
Liabilities for Contracts with Cross Default Provisions Prior to Contractual					
Netting Arrangements	\$	14,672	\$	15,930	
Amount of Cash Collateral Posted		856		1,376	
Additional Settlement Liability if Cross Default Provision is Triggered		5,517		4,926	

#### 7. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### Fair Value Hierarchy and Valuation Techniques

The accounting guidance for "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). Where observable inputs are available for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2. When quoted market prices are not available, pricing may be completed using comparable securities, dealer values, operating data and general market conditions to determine fair value. Valuation models utilize various inputs such as commodity, interest rate and, to a lesser degree, volatility and credit that include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, market corroborated inputs (i.e. inputs derived principally from, or correlated to, observable market data) and other observable inputs for the asset or liability.

For commercial activities, exchange traded derivatives, namely futures contracts, are generally fair valued based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and are classified as Level 1. Level 2 inputs primarily consist of OTC broker quotes in moderately active or less active markets, as well as exchange traded contracts where there is insufficient market liquidity to warrant inclusion in Level 1. Management verifies price curves using these broker quotes and classifies these fair values within Level 2 when substantially all of the fair value can be corroborated. Management typically obtains multiple broker quotes, which are non-binding in nature but are based on recent trades in the marketplace. When multiple broker quotes are obtained, the quoted bid and ask prices are averaged. In certain circumstances, a broker quote may be discarded if it is a clear outlier. Management uses a historical correlation analysis between the broker quoted location and the illiquid locations. If the points are highly correlated, these locations are included within Level 2 as well. Certain OTC and bilaterally executed derivative instruments are executed in less active markets with a lower availability of pricing information. Long-dated and illiquid complex or structured transactions and FTRs can introduce the need for internally developed modeling inputs based upon extrapolations and assumptions of observable market data to estimate fair value. When such inputs have a significant impact on the measurement of fair value, the instrument is categorized as Level 3.

#### Fair Value Measurements of Long-term Debt

The fair values of Long-term Debt are based on quoted market prices, without credit enhancements, for the same or similar issues and the current interest rates offered for instruments with similar maturities. These instruments are not marked-to-market. The estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange.

The book values and fair values of KPCo's Long-term Debt as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are summarized in the following table:

		March	2011		Decembe	r 31	, 2010		
	Bo	ook Value	e Fair Value			ook Value	Fair Value		
				(in tho	ds)				
Long-term Debt	\$	548,930	\$	620,309	\$	548,888	\$	628,623	

#### Fair Value Measurements of Financial Assets and Liabilities

The following tables set forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, KPCo's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. As required by the accounting guidance for "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Management's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. There have not been any significant changes in management's valuation techniques.

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis March 31, 2011

	Le	evel 1	]	Level 2	Ι	evel 3	 Other	Total
Assets:					(in tl	nousands)		
Risk Management Assets								
Risk Management Commodity Contracts (a) (c)	\$	278	\$	64,458	\$	2,608	\$ (51,414)	\$ 15,930
Cash Flow Hedges:								
Commodity Hedges (a)		-		794		-	(564)	230
Dedesignated Risk Management Contracts (b)		-		-		-	 661	 661
Total Risk Management Assets	\$	278	\$	65,252	\$	2,608	\$ (51,317)	\$ 16,821
Liabilities:								
Risk Management Liabilities								
Risk Management Commodity Contracts (a) (c)	\$	272	\$	60,797	\$	1,462	\$ (54,908)	\$ 7,623
Cash Flow Hedges:								
Commodity Hedges (a)		-		710		-	 (564)	 146
Total Risk Management Liabilities	\$	272	\$	61,507	\$	1,462	\$ (55,472)	\$ 7,769

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis December 31, 2010

	L	evel 1	]	Level 2	Ι	Level 3		Other	 Total
Assets:					(in t	housands)	1		
<b>Risk Management Assets</b>									
Risk Management Commodity Contracts (a) (c)	\$	350	\$	73,753	\$	2,862	\$	(61,018)	\$ 15,947
Cash Flow Hedges:									
Commodity Hedges (a)		-		549		-		(468)	81
Dedesignated Risk Management Contracts (b)		-		-		-		699	699
Total Risk Management Assets	\$	350	\$	74,302	\$	2,862	\$	(60,787)	\$ 16,727
Liabilities:									
<b>Risk Management Liabilities</b>									
Risk Management Commodity Contracts (a) (c)	\$	343	\$	69,996	\$	1,789	\$	(64,017)	\$ 8,111
Cash Flow Hedges:									
Commodity Hedges (a)		-		619		-		(468)	151
Total Risk Management Liabilities	\$	343	\$	70,615	\$	1,789	\$	(64,485)	\$ 8,262

(a) Amounts in "Other" column primarily represent counterparty netting of risk management and hedging contracts and associated cash collateral under the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging."

(b) Represents contracts that were originally MTM but were subsequently elected as normal under the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging." At the time of the normal election, the MTM value was frozen and no longer fair valued. This MTM value will be amortized into revenues over the remaining life of the contracts.

(c) Substantially comprised of power contracts.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The following tables set forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of net trading derivatives and other investments classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

Three Months Ended March 31, 2011	Net Risk Management Assets (Liabilities)			
	(in thousands)			
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$	1,073		
Realized Gain (Loss) Included in Net Income (or Changes in Net Assets) (a) (b)		(123)		
Unrealized Gain (Loss) Included in Net Income (or Changes in Net Assets)				
Relating to Assets Still Held at the Reporting Date (a)		-		
Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Other Comprehensive Income		-		
Purchases, Issuances and Settlements (c)		(279)		
Transfers into Level 3 (d) (f)		20		
Transfers out of Level 3 (e) (f)		(550)		
Changes in Fair Value Allocated to Regulated Jurisdictions (g)		1,005		
Balance as of March 31, 2011	\$	1,146		

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	Net Risk Management Assets (Liabilities)			
	(in thousands)			
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$	1,899		
Realized Gain (Loss) Included in Net Income (or Changes in Net Assets) (a) (b)		1,870		
Unrealized Gain (Loss) Included in Net Income (or Changes in Net Assets)				
Relating to Assets Still Held at the Reporting Date (a)		-		
Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Other Comprehensive Income		-		
Purchases, Issuances and Settlements (c)		(2,130)		
Transfers into Level 3 (d) (f)		88		
Transfers out of Level 3 (e) (f)		54		
Changes in Fair Value Allocated to Regulated Jurisdictions (g)		2,128		
Balance as of March 31, 2010	\$	3,909		

(a) Included in revenues on KPCo's Condensed Statements of Income.

- (b) Represents the change in fair value between the beginning of the reporting period and the settlement of the risk management commodity contract.
- (c) Represents the settlement of risk management commodity contracts for the reporting period.
- (d) Represents existing assets or liabilities that were previously categorized as Level 2.
- (e) Represents existing assets or liabilities that were previously categorized as Level 3.
- (f) Transfers are recognized based on their value at the beginning of the reporting period that the transfer occurred.
- (g) Relates to the net gains (losses) of those contracts that are not reflected on KPCo's Condensed Statements of Income. These net gains (losses) are recorded as regulatory assets/liabilities.

#### 8. INCOME TAXES

KPCo joins in the filing of a consolidated federal income tax return with its affiliates in the AEP System. The allocation of the AEP System's current consolidated federal income tax to the AEP System companies allocates the benefit of current tax losses to the AEP System companies giving rise to such losses in determining their current tax expense. The tax benefit of the Parent is allocated to its subsidiaries with taxable income. With the exception of the loss of the Parent, the method of allocation reflects a separate return result for each company in the consolidated group.

KPCo and other AEP subsidiaries are no longer subject to U.S. federal examination for years before 2001. KPCo and other AEP subsidiaries have completed the exam for the years 2001 through 2006 and have issues that are being pursued at the appeals level. In April 2011, the IRS's examination of the years 2007 and 2008 was concluded with a settlement of all outstanding issues. The settlement will not have a material impact on net income, cash flows or financial condition. Although the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, in management's opinion, adequate provisions for federal income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such matters. In addition, KPCo accrues interest on these uncertain tax positions. Management is not aware of any issues for open tax years that upon final resolution are expected to have a material adverse effect on net income.

KPCo, along with other AEP subsidiaries, files income tax returns in various state and local jurisdictions. These taxing authorities routinely examine the tax returns and KPCo and other AEP subsidiaries are currently under examination in several state and local jurisdictions. Management believes that previously filed tax returns have positions that may be challenged by these tax authorities. However, management believes that adequate provisions for income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such challenges and that the ultimate resolution of these audits will not materially impact net income. With few exceptions, KPCo is no longer subject to state or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2000.

#### Federal Legislation

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the related Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (Health Care Acts) were enacted in March 2010. The Health Care Acts amend tax rules so that the portion of employer health care costs that are reimbursed by the Medicare Part D prescription drug subsidy will no longer be deductible by the employer for federal income tax purposes effective for years beginning after December 31, 2012. Because of the loss of the future tax deduction, a reduction in the deferred tax asset related to the nondeductible OPEB liabilities accrued to date was recorded by KPCo in March 2010. This reduction, which was offset by recording net tax regulatory assets, did not materially affect KPCo's net income, cash flows or financial condition.

The Small Business Jobs Act (the Act) was enacted in September 2010. Included in the Act was a one-year extension of the 50% bonus depreciation provision. The Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization and the Job Creation Act of 2010 extended the life of research and development, employment and several energy tax credits originally scheduled to expire at the end of 2010. In addition, the Act extended the time for claiming bonus depreciation and increased the deduction to 100% for part of 2010 and 2011. The enacted provisions will not have a material impact on KPCo's net income or financial condition.

#### 9. FINANCING ACTIVITIES

#### Long-term Debt

KPCo did not have any long-term debt issuances or retirements during the first three months of 2011.

#### **Dividend Restrictions**

#### Federal Power Act

The Federal Power Act prohibits KPCo from participating "in the making or paying of any dividends of such public utility from any funds properly included in capital account." The term "capital account" is not defined in the Federal Power Act or its regulations. Management understands "capital account" to mean the par value of the common stock multiplied by the number of shares outstanding. This restriction does not limit the ability of KPCo to pay dividends out of retained earnings.

#### Leverage Restrictions

Pursuant to credit agreement leverage restrictions, at March 31, 2011, none of the retained earnings of KPCo have restrictions related to the payment of dividends.

#### Utility Money Pool – AEP System

The AEP System uses a corporate borrowing program to meet the short-term borrowing needs of its subsidiaries. The corporate borrowing program includes a Utility Money Pool, which funds the utility subsidiaries. The AEP System Utility Money Pool operates in accordance with the terms and conditions approved in a regulatory order. The amount of outstanding loans to the Utility Money Pool as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is included in Advances to Affiliates on KPCo's balance sheets. KPCo's Utility Money Pool activity and corresponding authorized borrowing limits for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are described in the following table:

Maximum Borrowings from Utility	Maximum Loans to Utility	Average Borrowings from Utility	Average Loans to Utility	Loans to Utility Money Pool as of	Authorized Short-Term Borrowing				
Money Pool	Money Pool	Money Pool	Money Pool	March 31, 2011	Limit				
(in thousands)									
\$ -	\$ 101,240	\$ -	\$ 80,930	\$ 93,437	\$ 250,000				

Maximum, minimum and average interest rates for funds either borrowed from or loaned to the Utility Money Pool for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are summarized in the following table:

	Maximum Interest Rates	Minimum Interest Rates	Maximum Interest Rates	Minimum Interest Rates	Average Interest Rates	Average Interest Rates
	for Funds					
	Borrowed	Borrowed	Loaned	Loaned	Borrowed	Loaned
	from Utility	from Utility	to Utility	to Utility	from Utility	to Utility
Year	Money Pool					
2011	- %	- %	0.56 %	0.06 %	- %	0.31 %
2010	0.34 %	0.09 %	0.17 %	0.09 %	0.17 %	0.13 %

#### Sale of Receivables – AEP Credit

Under a sale of receivables arrangement, KPCo sells, without recourse, certain of its customer accounts receivable and accrued unbilled revenue balances to AEP Credit and is charged a fee based on AEP Credit's financing costs, administrative costs and uncollectible accounts experience for KPCo's receivables. The costs of customer accounts receivable sold are reported in Other Operation on KPCo's income statement. KPCo manages and services its accounts receivable sold.

In July 2010, AEP Credit renewed its receivables securitization agreement. The agreement provides a commitment of \$750 million from bank conduits to purchase receivables. A commitment of \$375 million expires in July 2011 and the remaining commitment of \$375 million expires in July 2013.

KPCo's amount of accounts receivable and accrued unbilled revenues sold under the sale of receivables agreement was \$61million and \$63 million as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The fees paid by KPCo to AEP Credit for customer accounts receivable sold were \$608 thousand and \$628 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

KPCo's proceeds on the sale of receivables to AEP Credit were \$173 million and \$146 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### 10. COST REDUCTION INITIATIVES

In April 2010, management began initiatives to decrease both labor and non-labor expenses with a goal of achieving significant reductions in operation and maintenance expenses. A total of 2,461 positions were eliminated across the AEP System as a result of process improvements, streamlined organizational designs and other efficiencies. Most of the affected employees terminated employment May 31, 2010. The severance program provided two weeks of base pay for every year of service along with other severance benefits.

KPCo recorded a charge of \$11.7 million to Other Operation expense in 2010 primarily related to the headcount reduction initiatives. These costs related primarily to severance benefits. Management does not expect additional costs to be incurred related to this initiative.

Balance at December 31, 2010		Incurred		Settled		Adjustments		Balance at March 31, 2011	
\$	1,018	\$	-	 (in \$	thousands) (251)	\$	(78)	\$	689

The remaining accrual is included in Other Current Liabilities on the Condensed Balance Sheets.