# AEP Texas Central Company and Subsidiaries

2013 First Quarter Report

Consolidated Financial Statements



TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page Number
Glossary of Terms	1
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income – Unaudited	2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) - Unaudited	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Common Shareholder's Equity - Unaudited	4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – Unaudited	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Unaudited	7
Index of Condensed Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Unaudited	8

## **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

Term	Meaning
AEP or Parent	American Electric Power Company, Inc., a utility holding company.
AEP System	American Electric Power System, an integrated electric utility system, owned and operated by AEP's electric utility subsidiaries.
AEPSC	American Electric Power Service Corporation, an AEP service subsidiary providing management and professional services to AEP and its subsidiaries.
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.
CAA	Clean Air Act.
$CO_2$	Carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases.
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
FTR	Financial Transmission Right, a financial instrument that entitles the holder to receive compensation for certain congestion-related transmission charges that arise when the power grid is congested resulting in differences in locational prices.
GAAP	Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America.
IRS	Internal Revenue Service.
MTM	Mark-to-Market.
OPEB	Other Postretirement Benefit Plans.
OTC	Over the counter.
PUCT	Public Utility Commission of Texas.
Risk Management Contracts	Trading and nontrading derivatives, including those derivatives designated as cash flow and fair value hedges.
TCC	AEP Texas Central Company, an AEP electric utility subsidiary.
Transition Funding	AEP Texas Central Transition Funding I LLC, AEP Texas Central Transition Funding II LLC and AEP Texas Central Transition Funding III LLC, wholly-owned subsidiaries of TCC and consolidated variable interest entities formed for the purpose of issuing and servicing securitization bonds related to Texas restructuring law.
Texas Restructuring Legislation	Legislation enacted in 1999 to restructure the electric utility industry in Texas.
Utility Money Pool	Centralized funding mechanism AEP uses to meet the short-term cash requirements of certain utility subsidiaries.
VIE	Variable Interest Entity.

When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below.

#### AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Thr	ee Months H 2013	Ended	March 31, 2012
REVENUES				
Electric Transmission and Distribution	\$	224,961	\$	209,740
Sales to AEP Affiliates		976		884
Other Revenues		641		371
TOTAL REVENUES		226,578		210,995
EXPENSES				
Other Operation		60,297		50,716
Maintenance		8,862		7,358
Depreciation and Amortization		75,094		66,745
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes		14,538		16,712
TOTAL EXPENSES		158,791		141,531
OPERATING INCOME		67,787		69,464
Other Income (Expense):				
Interest Income		13		123
Carrying Costs Income		-		7,775
Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction		92		351
Interest Expense		(35,900)		(30,979)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE		31,992		46,734
Income Tax Expense		11,901		16,459
NET INCOME	<u>\$</u>	20,091	\$	30,275

The common stock of TCC is owned by a wholly-owned subsidiary of AEP.

## AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands)

#### (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2013		2012
Net Income	\$	20,091	\$	30,275
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAXES				
Cash Flow Hedges, Net of Tax of \$22 and \$99 in 2013 and 2012, Respectively		40		183
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	20,131	\$	30,458

#### AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	-	ommon Stock	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Con	cumulated Other nprehensive come (Loss)	Total
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY – DECEMBER 31, 2011	\$	55,292	\$ 171,062	\$ 1,011,990	\$	(83)	\$ 1,238,261
Common Stock Dividends Net Income Other Comprehensive Income				 (674,824) 30,275		183	 (674,824) 30,275 183
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY – MARCH 31, 2012	\$	55,292	\$ 171,062	\$ 367,441	\$	100	\$ 593,895
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY – DECEMBER 31, 2012	\$	55,292	\$ 171,062	\$ 431,632	\$	29	\$ 658,015
Common Stock Dividends Net Income Other Comprehensive Income				(1,250) 20,091		40	(1,250) 20,091 40
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY – MARCH 31, 2013	\$	55,292	\$ 171,062	\$ 450,473	\$	69	\$ 676,896

#### AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS ASSETS March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands) (Unaudited)

		March 31, 2013	Ι	December 31, 2012
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	965	\$	100
Restricted Cash for Securitized Transition Funding		139,164		215,218
Accounts Receivable:				
Customers		74,399		70,178
Affiliated Companies		3,111		6,733
Accrued Unbilled Revenues		40,385		41,678
Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts		(1,452)		(1,293)
Total Accounts Receivable		116,443		117,296
Materials and Supplies		38,581		37,423
Prepayments and Other Current Assets		2,646		1,884
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		297,799		371,921
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT				
Electric:				
Transmission		1,204,184		1,194,582
Distribution		2,152,877		2,120,155
Other Property, Plant and Equipment		268,271		264,328
Construction Work in Progress		126,704		104,788
Total Property, Plant and Equipment		3,752,036		3,683,853
Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization		786,606		771,854
TOTAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT – NET		2,965,430		2,911,999
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS				
Regulatory Assets	_	285,216		282,404
Securitized Transition Assets				
(March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 Amounts Include \$2,007,155 and				
\$2,057,817, Respectively, Related to Transition Funding)		2,064,882		2,116,833
Deferred Charges and Other Noncurrent Assets		44,937		26,191
TOTAL OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	_	2,395,035		2,425,428
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	5,658,264	\$	5,709,348

#### AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS LIABILITIES AND COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	March 31, 2013		ecember 31, 2012
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Advances from Affiliates	\$ 151,630	\$	103,335
Accounts Payable:			
General	36,597		35,066
Affiliated Companies	11,149		15,736
Long-term Debt Due Within One Year – Nonaffiliated			
(March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 Amounts Include \$250,532 and			
\$243,378, Respectively, Related to Transition Funding)	250,532		243,378
Customer Deposits	19,214		20,283
Accrued Taxes	54,577		40,486
Accrued Interest			
(March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 Amounts Include \$23,304 and \$40,045,			
Respectively, Related to Transition Funding)	33,996		50,436
Other Current Liabilities	27,928		37,779
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	 585,623		546,499
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES			
Long-term Debt – Nonaffiliated			
(March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 Amounts Include \$1,925,545 and			
\$2,037,690, Respectively, Related to Transition Funding)	2,569,056		2,681,188
Deferred Income Taxes	1,311,320		1,308,163
Regulatory Liabilities and Deferred Investment Tax Credits	466,926		467,888
Deferred Credits and Other Noncurrent Liabilities	48,443		47,595
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	 4,395,745		4,504,834
TOTAL LIABILITIES	 4,981,368		5,051,333
Rate Matters (Note 3)			
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 4)			
COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY			
Common Stock – Par Value – \$25 Per Share:			
Authorized – 12,000,000 Shares			
Outstanding – 2,211,678 Shares	55,292		55,292
Paid-in Capital	171,062		171,062
Retained Earnings	450,473		431,632
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	 69		29
TOTAL COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY	 676,896		658,015
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND COMMON SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY	\$ 5,658,264	\$	5,709,348

#### AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Th	ree Months H 2013	Ende	d March 31, 2012
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>				
Net Income	\$	20,091	\$	30,275
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:				
Depreciation and Amortization		75,094		66,745
Deferred Income Taxes		2,969		512
Carrying Costs Income		_,, ., _		(7,775)
Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction		(92)		(351)
Property Taxes		(19,563)		(20,971)
Change in Other Noncurrent Assets		(4,476)		(5,521)
Change in Other Noncurrent Liabilities		4,970		(2,800)
Changes in Certain Components of Working Capital:		ч,970		(2,000)
Accounts Receivable, Net		853		(2,148)
Materials and Supplies		(1,158)		220
Accounts Payable		(3,726)		(3,841)
Customer Deposits		(1,069)		929
Accrued Taxes, Net		14,091		19,040
Accrued Interest		(16,505)		(23,671)
Other Current Assets		(846)		135
Other Current Liabilities		(10,202)		(7,973)
Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities		60,431		42,805
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Construction Expenditures	-	(80,084)		(55,558)
Change in Restricted Cash for Securitized Transition Funding		76,054		81,448
Change in Advances to Affiliates, Net				(55,285)
Proceeds from Sales of Assets		2,427		1,170
Other Investing Activities		(553)		(31)
Net Cash Flows Used for Investing Activities		(2,156)		(28,256)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	-			702 204
Issuance of Long-term Debt – Nonaffiliated		-		793,304
Change in Advances from Affiliates, Net		48,295		(36,043)
Retirement of Long-term Debt – Nonaffiliated		(105,020)		(98,340)
Principal Payments for Capital Lease Obligations		(373)		(436)
Dividends Paid on Common Stock		(1,250)		(674,824)
Other Financing Activities		938		1,568
Net Cash Flows Used for Financing Activities		(57,410)		(14,771)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents		865		(222)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		100		422
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	965	\$	200
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION				
Cash Paid for Interest, Net of Capitalized Amounts	\$	49,925	\$	54,140
Net Cash Paid for Income Taxes	Ŧ			6,255
Noncash Acquisitions Under Capital Leases		1,237		2,089
Construction Expenditures Included in Current Liabilities as of March 31,		20,300		18,480
Construction Experiations menuacion d'artent Liabilities as of March 51,		20,300		10,400

## INDEX OF CONDENSED NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page Number
Significant Accounting Matters	9
Comprehensive Income	9
Rate Matters	10
Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies	10
Benefit Plans	12
Business Segments	12
Derivatives and Hedging	12
Fair Value Measurements	14
Income Taxes	17
Financing Activities	17
Variable Interest Entities	18
Sustainable Cost Reductions	19

#### 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING MATTERS

#### General

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes were prepared in accordance with GAAP for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete annual financial statements.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements reflect all normal and recurring accruals and adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the net income, financial position and cash flows for the interim periods. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013. The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the audited 2012 financial statements and notes thereto, which are included in TCC's 2012 Annual Report.

Management reviewed subsequent events through April 26, 2013, the date that the first quarter 2013 report was issued.

#### 2. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

#### **Presentation of Comprehensive Income**

The following table provides the components of changes in AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013. All amounts in the following table are presented net of related income taxes.

#### Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) by Component For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

		Cash	5			
	Com	Interest Rate and Commodity Foreign Currency				al
			(in thou	isands)		
Balance in AOCI as of December 31, 2012	\$	29	\$	- \$	5	29
Change in Fair Value Recognized in AOCI		61		-		61
Amounts Reclassified from AOCI		(21)		-		(21)
Net Current Period Other						
Comprehensive Income		40		-		40
Balance in AOCI as of March 31, 2013	\$	69	\$	- \$		69

#### **Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income**

The following table provides details of reclassifications from AOCI for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

#### Reclassifications from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Gains and Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	(Gai Recl from	ount of n) Loss assified <u>n AOCI</u> ousands)
Commodity:		Jusanus)
Other Operation Expense	\$	(11)
Maintenance Expense		(8)
Property, Plant and Equipment		(13)
Subtotal - Commodity		(32)
Interest Rate and Foreign Currency:		
Interest Expense		-
Subtotal - Interest Rate and Foreign Currency		-
Reclassifications from AOCI, before Income Tax (Expense) Credit		(32)
Income Tax (Expense) Credit		(11)
Total Reclassifications from AOCI, Net of Income Tax (Expense) Credit	\$	(21)

The following table provides details on designated, effective cash flow hedges included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on the condensed balance sheets and the reasons for changes in cash flow hedges for the three months ended March 31, 2012. All amounts in the following table are presented net of related income taxes.

#### Total Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Activity for Cash Flow Hedges For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

	AOCI Activity for Cash Flow Hedges (in thousands)				
Balance in AOCI as of December 31, 2011	\$	(83)			
Changes in Fair Value Recognized in AOCI		191			
Amount of (Gain) or Loss Reclassified from AOCI					
to Statement of Income/within Balance Sheet:					
Other Operation Expense		(3)			
Maintenance Expense		(2)			
Property, Plant and Equipment		(3)			
Balance in AOCI as of March 31, 2012	\$	100			

#### 3. <u>RATE MATTERS</u>

As discussed in TCC's 2012 Annual Report, TCC is involved in rate and regulatory proceedings at the FERC and the PUCT. The Rate Matters note within TCC's 2012 Annual Report should be read in conjunction with this report to gain a complete understanding of material rate matters still pending that could impact net income, cash flows and possibly financial condition. The following discusses ratemaking developments in 2013 and updates TCC's 2012 Annual Report.

#### **Regulatory Assets Not Yet Being Recovered**

March 31, 2013		December 31, 2012				
(in thousands)						
\$	22,541	\$	22,866			
	6,381		-			
	145		145			
\$	29,067	\$	23,011			
		(in tho \$ 22,541 6,381 145	2013 (in thousands) \$ 22,541 \$ 6,381 145			

If these costs are ultimately determined not to be recoverable, it would reduce future net income and cash flows and impact financial condition.

#### 4. COMMITMENTS, GUARANTEES AND CONTINGENCIES

TCC is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in its ordinary course of business. In addition, TCC's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation cannot be predicted. For current proceedings not specifically discussed below, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such proceedings would have a material effect on the financial statements. The Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies note within TCC's 2012 Annual Report should be read in conjunction with this report.

#### **GUARANTEES**

Liabilities for guarantees are recorded in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Guarantees." There is no collateral held in relation to any guarantees. In the event any guarantee is drawn, there is no recourse to third parties unless specified below.

#### Indemnifications and Other Guarantees

#### Contracts

TCC enters into certain types of contracts which require indemnifications. Typically these contracts include, but are not limited to, sale agreements, lease agreements, purchase agreements and financing agreements. Generally, these agreements may include, but are not limited to, indemnifications around certain tax, contractual and environmental matters. With respect to sale agreements, exposure generally does not exceed the sale price. The status of certain sale agreements is discussed in TCC's 2012 Annual Report "Dispositions" section of Note 5. As of March 31, 2013, there were no material liabilities recorded for any indemnifications.

#### Master Lease Agreements

TCC leases certain equipment under master lease agreements. Under the lease agreements, the lessor is guaranteed a residual value up to a stated percentage of either the unamortized balance or the equipment cost at the end of the lease term. If the actual fair value of the leased equipment is below the guaranteed residual value at the end of the lease term, TCC is committed to pay the difference between the actual fair value and the residual value guarantee. Historically, at the end of the lease term the fair value has been in excess of the unamortized balance. As of March 31, 2013, the maximum potential loss for these lease agreements was approximately \$2.3 million assuming the fair value of the equipment is zero at the end of the lease term.

#### CONTINGENCIES

#### Carbon Dioxide Public Nuisance Claims

In October 2009, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed a decision by the Federal District Court for the District of Mississippi dismissing state common law nuisance claims in a putative class action by Mississippi residents asserting that CO<sub>2</sub> emissions exacerbated the effects of Hurricane Katrina. The Fifth Circuit held that there was no exclusive commitment of the common law issues raised in plaintiffs' complaint to a coordinate branch of government and that no initial policy determination was required to adjudicate these claims. The court granted petitions for rehearing. An additional recusal left the Fifth Circuit without a quorum to reconsider the decision and the appeal was dismissed, leaving the district court's decision in place. Plaintiffs filed a petition with the U.S. Supreme Court asking the court to remand the case to the Fifth Circuit and reinstate the panel decision. The petition was denied in January 2011. Plaintiffs refiled their complaint in federal district court. The court ordered all defendants to respond to the refiled complaints in October 2011. In March 2012, the court granted the defendants' motion for dismissal on several grounds, including the doctrine of collateral estoppel and the applicable statute of limitations. Plaintiffs appealed the decision to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. Management will continue to defend against the claims. Management is unable to determine a range of potential losses that are reasonably possible of occurring.

#### Alaskan Villages' Claims

In 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina, Alaska filed a lawsuit in Federal Court in the Northern District of California against AEP, AEPSC and 22 other unrelated defendants including oil and gas companies, a coal company and other electric generating companies. The complaint alleges that the defendants' emissions of  $CO_2$  contribute to global warming and constitute a public and private nuisance and that the defendants are acting together. The complaint further alleges that some of the defendants, including AEP, conspired to create a false scientific debate about global warming in order to deceive the public and perpetuate the alleged nuisance. The plaintiffs also allege that the effects of global warming will require the relocation of the village at an alleged cost of \$95 million to \$400 million. In October 2009, the judge dismissed plaintiffs' federal common law claim for nuisance, finding the claim barred by the political question doctrine and by plaintiffs' lack of standing to bring the claim. The judge also dismissed plaintiffs' state law claims without prejudice to refiling in state court. The

plaintiffs appealed the decision. In September 2012, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's decision, holding that the CAA displaced Kivalina's claims for damages. Plaintiffs filed seeking further review in the U.S. Supreme Court. Management believes the action is without merit and will continue to defend against the claims. Management is unable to determine a range of potential losses that are reasonably possible of occurring.

#### 5. <u>BENEFIT PLANS</u>

TCC participates in an AEP sponsored qualified pension plan and an unfunded nonqualified pension plan. Substantially all of TCC's employees are covered by the qualified plan or both the qualified and nonqualified pension plans. TCC also participates in OPEB plans sponsored by AEP to provide health and life insurance benefits for retired employees.

#### Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

The following table provides the components of TCC's net periodic benefit cost (credit) for the plans for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	 Pensio Three Months H		Other Postretirement Benefit Plans Three Months Ended March 31				
	2013	2012			2013		2012
			(in tho	usan	ds)		
Service Cost	\$ 1,211	\$	1,300	\$	295	\$	615
Interest Cost	3,316		3,775		1,103		1,603
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(4,702)		(5,572)		(1,781)		(1,651)
Amortization of Prior Service Cost (Credit)	80		(315)		(1,072)		(295)
Amortization of Net Actuarial Loss	2,988		2,537		1,017		889
Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Credit)	\$ 2,893	\$	1,725	\$	(438)	\$	1,161

#### 6. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

TCC has one reportable segment, an integrated electricity transmission and distribution business. TCC's other activities are insignificant.

## 7. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

#### Cash Flow Hedging Strategies

TCC's vehicle fleet is exposed to gasoline and diesel fuel price volatility. AEPSC, on behalf of TCC, enters into financial heating oil and gasoline derivative contracts in order to mitigate price risk of future fuel purchases. Not all fuel price risk exposure is hedged. The gross notional volumes of TCC's outstanding derivative contracts for heating oil and gasoline as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were 837 thousand gallons and 801 thousand gallons, respectively.

According to the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging," TCC reflects the fair values of derivative instruments subject to netting agreements with the same counterparty net of related cash collateral. For certain risk management contracts, TCC is required to post or receive cash collateral based on third party contractual agreements and risk profiles. For the March 31, 2013 condensed balance sheet, TCC netted \$2 thousand of cash collateral paid to third parties against short-term and long-term risk management liabilities. For the December 31, 2012 condensed balance sheet, TCC had no netting of cash collateral paid to third parties against short-term and long-term risk management liabilities.

The following tables represent the gross fair value impact of TCC's derivative activity on the condensed balance sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

#### Fair Value of Derivative Instruments March 31, 2013

Balance Sheet Location	Hedging C	ontracts (a)	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position (b)	Net Amour Assets/Liab Presented i Statemen Financi Position	oilities in the it of al
			(in thousands)		
Prepayments and Other Current Assets	\$	93	\$-	\$	93
Deferred Charges and Other Noncurrent Assets		7	-		7
Total Assets		100			100
Other Current Liabilities		-	-		-
Deferred Credits and Other Noncurrent Liabilities		-	-		-
Total Liabilities		-			-
Total MTM Derivative Contract Net Assets (Liabilities)	\$	100	<u>\$</u>	\$	100

#### Fair Value of Derivative Instruments December 31, 2012

			Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial	Net Amounts of Assets/Liabilities Presented in the Statement of Financial
Balance Sheet Location	Hedging Contracts	s (a)	Position (b)	Position (c)
Prepayments and Other Current Assets Deferred Charges and Other Noncurrent Assets <b>Total Assets</b>	\$	62 - 62	$\frac{\text{(in thousands)}}{(28)}$	\$ 34
Other Current Liabilities Deferred Credits and Other Noncurrent Liabilities <b>Total Liabilities</b>		28 - 28	(28)	
Total MTM Derivative Contract Net Assets (Liabilities)	\$	34	\$ -	<u>\$ 34</u>

(a) Derivative instruments within these categories are reported gross. These instruments are subject to master netting agreements and are presented on the condensed balance sheets on a net basis in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging."

(b) Amounts include counterparty netting of risk management and hedging contracts and associated cash collateral in accordance with the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging."

(c) There are no derivative contracts subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement which are not offset in the statement of financial position.

#### Accounting for Cash Flow Hedging Strategies

For cash flow hedges (i.e. hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk), TCC initially reports the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative instrument as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on its condensed balance sheets until the period the hedged item affects Net Income. TCC records hedge ineffectiveness as a regulatory asset (for losses) or a regulatory liability (for gains).

TCC reclassifies gains and losses on heating oil and gasoline derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on its condensed balance sheets into Other Operation expense, Maintenance expense or Depreciation and Amortization expense, as it relates to capital projects, on its condensed statements of income. During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, TCC designated heating oil and gasoline derivatives as cash flow hedges. Hedge ineffectiveness was immaterial for this hedge strategy.

For details on designated, effective cash flow hedges included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on TCC's condensed balance sheets and the reasons for changes in cash flow hedges for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, see Note 2.

Cash flow hedges included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) on TCC's condensed balance sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were:

#### Impact of Cash Flow Hedges on the Condensed Balance Sheet March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012

	Marc	h 31, 2013	Decemb	er 31, 2012			
	(in thousands)						
Hedging Assets	\$	99	\$	34			
Hedging Liabilities		2		-			
AOCI Gain Net of Tax		69		29			
Portion Expected to be Reclassified to Net							
Income During the Next Twelve Months		67		29			

The actual amounts that TCC reclassifies from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) to Net Income can differ from the estimate above due to market price changes. As of March 31, 2013, the maximum length of time that TCC is hedging (with contracts subject to the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging") its exposure to variability in future cash flows related to forecasted transactions is 21 months.

#### 8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### Fair Value Hierarchy and Valuation Techniques

The accounting guidance for "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). Where observable inputs are available for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2. When quoted market prices are not available, pricing may be completed using comparable securities, dealer values, operating data and general market conditions to determine fair value. Valuation models utilize various inputs such as commodity, interest rate and, to a lesser degree, volatility and credit that include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets, market corroborated inputs (i.e. inputs derived principally from, or correlated to, observable market data) and other observable inputs for the asset or liability. The AEP System's market risk oversight staff independently monitors its valuation policies and provides members of the Commercial Operations Risk Committee (CORC) various daily, weekly and monthly reports, regarding compliance with policies and procedures. The CORC consists of AEPSC's Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President of Energy Supply, Senior Vice President of Commercial Operations and Chief Risk Officer.

For commercial activities, exchange traded derivatives, namely futures contracts, are generally fair valued based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and are classified as Level 1. Level 2 inputs primarily consist of OTC broker quotes in moderately active or less active markets, as well as exchange traded contracts where there is insufficient market liquidity to warrant inclusion in Level 1. Management verifies price curves using these broker quotes and classifies these fair values within Level 2 when substantially all of the fair value can be corroborated. Management typically obtains multiple broker quotes, which are nonbinding in nature, but are based on recent trades in the marketplace. When multiple broker quotes are obtained, the quoted bid and ask prices are averaged. In certain circumstances, a broker quote may be discarded if it is a clear outlier. Management uses a historical correlation analysis between the broker quoted location and the illiquid locations. If the points are highly correlated, these locations are included within Level 2 as well. Certain OTC and bilaterally executed derivative instruments are executed in less active markets with a lower availability of pricing information. Illiquid transactions, complex structured transactions, FTRs and counterparty credit risk may require nonmarket based inputs. Some of these inputs may be internally developed or extrapolated and utilized to estimate fair value. When such inputs have a significant impact on the measurement of fair value, the instrument is categorized as Level 3. The main driver of the contracts being classified as Level 3 is the inability to substantiate energy price curves in the market. A significant portion of the Level 3 instruments have been economically hedged which greatly limits potential earnings volatility.

For Restricted Cash for Securitized Transition Funding, items classified as Level 1 are investments in money market funds. They are valued based on observable inputs primarily unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets.

#### Fair Value Measurements of Long-term Debt

The fair values of Long-term Debt are based on quoted market prices, without credit enhancements, for the same or similar issues and the current interest rates offered for instruments with similar maturities classified as Level 2 measurement inputs. These instruments are not marked-to-market. The estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange.

The book values and fair values of TCC's Long-term Debt as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are summarized in the following table:

	March	31, 2013	Decembe	r 31, 2012				
	<b>Book Value</b>	Fair Value	<b>Book Value</b>	Fair Value				
		(in thousands)						
Long-term Debt	\$ 2,819,588	\$ 3,166,217	\$ 2,924,566	\$ 3,328,785				

#### Fair Value Measurements of Financial Assets and Liabilities

The following tables set forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, TCC's financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As required by the accounting guidance for "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Management's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. There have not been any significant changes in management's valuation techniques.

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis March 31, 2013

	 Level 1	L	evel 2		Level 3	 Other	 Total
Assets:				(in 1	thousands)		
Restricted Cash for Securitized Transition Funding (a)	\$ 139,164	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 14	\$ 139,178
Risk Management Assets Cash Flow Hedges:							
Commodity Hedges	 		100			 	 100
Total Assets	\$ 139,164	\$	100	\$		\$ 14	\$ 139,278

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

December 31, 2012

		Level 1	L	evel 2	Le	vel 3	C	Other		Total
Assets:	(in thousands)									
Restricted Cash for Securitized Transition Funding (a) <b>Risk Management Assets</b>	\$	215,218	\$	-	\$	-	\$	14	\$	215,232
Cash Flow Hedges:										
Commodity Hedges (b)		-		62				(28)		34
Total Assets	\$	215,218	\$	62	\$		\$	(14)	\$	215,266
<b>Risk Management Liabilities</b>										
Cash Flow Hedges:										
Commodity Hedges (b)	\$	-	\$	28	\$	-	\$	(28)	\$	-

(a) Amounts in "Other" column primarily represent cash deposits with third parties. Level 1 amounts primarily represent investments in money market funds.

(b) Amounts in "Other" column primarily represent counterparty netting of risk management and hedging contracts and associated cash collateral under the accounting guidance for "Derivatives and Hedging."

As of March 31, 2013, TCC had no liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

#### 9. INCOME TAXES

#### AEP System Tax Allocation Agreement

TCC joins in the filing of a consolidated federal income tax return with its affiliates in the AEP System. The allocation of the AEP System's current consolidated federal income tax to the AEP System companies allocates the benefit of current tax losses to the AEP System companies giving rise to such losses in determining their current tax expense. The tax benefit of the Parent is allocated to its subsidiaries with taxable income. With the exception of the loss of the Parent, the method of allocation reflects a separate return result for each company in the consolidated group.

#### Federal and State Income Tax Audit Status

The IRS examination of years 2009 and 2010 started in October 2011. Although the outcome of tax audits is uncertain, in management's opinion, adequate provisions for federal income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such matters. In addition, TCC accrues interest on these uncertain tax positions. Management is not aware of any issues for open tax years that upon final resolution are expected to materially impact net income.

TCC and other AEP subsidiaries file income tax returns in various state and local jurisdictions. These taxing authorities routinely examine the tax returns and TCC and other AEP subsidiaries are currently under examination in several state and local jurisdictions. Management believes that previously filed tax returns have positions that may be challenged by these tax authorities. However, management believes that adequate provisions for income taxes have been made for potential liabilities resulting from such challenges and that the ultimate resolution of these audits will not materially impact net income. With few exceptions, TCC is no longer subject to state or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2008.

#### **10. FINANCING ACTIVITIES**

#### Long-term Debt

Principal payments made during the first three months of 2013 are shown in the table below:

		Principal		Interest	Due
	<b>Type of Debt</b>	Am	ount Paid	Rate	Date
<b>Principal Payments:</b>		(in thousands)		(%)	
	Securitization Bonds	\$	37,581	5.96	2013
	Securitization Bonds		67,440	4.98	2013

As of March 31, 2013, trustees held, on TCC's behalf, \$120 million of its reacquired Pollution Control Bonds.

#### **Dividend Restrictions**

#### Federal Power Act

The Federal Power Act prohibits TCC from participating "in the making or paying of any dividends of such public utility from any funds properly included in capital account." The term "capital account" is not defined in the Federal Power Act or its regulations. Management understands "capital account" to mean the book value of the common stock. This restriction does not limit the ability of TCC to pay dividends out of retained earnings.

#### Utility Money Pool – AEP System

The AEP System uses a corporate borrowing program to meet the short-term borrowing needs of the subsidiaries. The corporate borrowing program includes a Utility Money Pool, which funds AEP's utility subsidiaries. The AEP System Utility Money Pool operates in accordance with the terms and conditions of the AEP System Utility Money Pool agreement filed with FERC. The amount of outstanding borrowings from the Utility Money Pool as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is included in Advances from Affiliates on TCC's condensed balance sheets. TCC's Utility Money Pool activity and corresponding authorized borrowing limit for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are described in the following table:

Bo fro	aximum rrowings m Utility oney Pool	Maximun Loans to Utility Money Po	7	B fr	Average orrowings om Utility oney Pool	Lo to U	rage ans tility y Pool	Μ	Borrowings from Utility oney Pool as of Iarch 31, 2013	S	Authorized Short-Term Borrowing Limit
	(in thousands)										
\$	154,277	\$	-	\$	129,710	\$	-	\$	151,630	\$	250,000

Maximum, minimum and average interest rates for funds either borrowed from or loaned to the Utility Money Pool for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized in the following table:

	Maximum Interest Rate for Funds	Minimum Interest Rate for Funds	Maximum Interest Rate for Funds	Minimum Interest Rate for Funds	Average Interest Rate for Funds	Average Interest Rate for Funds
Three Months	Borrowed	Borrowed	Loaned	Loaned	Borrowed	Loaned
Ended	from Utility	from Utility	to Utility	to Utility	from Utility	to Utility
March 31,	Money Pool					
2013	0.43 %	0.35 %	- %	- %	0.37 %	- %
2012	0.56 %	0.45 %	0.48 %	0.46 %	0.52 %	0.47 %

### 11. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The accounting guidance for "Variable Interest Entities" is a consolidation model that considers if a company has a controlling financial interest in a VIE. A controlling financial interest will have both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Entities are required to consolidate a VIE when it is determined that they have a controlling financial interest in a VIE and therefore, are the primary beneficiary of that VIE, as defined by the accounting guidance for "Variable Interest Entities." In determining whether TCC is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, management considers factors such as equity at risk, the amount of the VIE's variability TCC absorbs, guarantees of indebtedness, voting rights including kick-out rights, the power to direct the VIE, variable interests held by related parties and other factors. Management believes that significant assumptions and judgments were applied consistently.

AEP Texas Central Transition Funding I LLC, AEP Texas Central Transition Funding II LLC and AEP Texas Central Transition Funding III LLC, wholly-owned subsidiaries of TCC, (collectively Transition Funding) were formed for the sole purpose of issuing and servicing securitization bonds related to Texas Restructuring Legislation. Management has concluded that TCC is the primary beneficiary of Transition Funding because TCC has the power to direct the most significant activities of the VIE and TCC's equity interest could potentially be significant. Therefore, TCC is required to consolidate Transition Funding. The securitized bonds totaled \$2.2 billion and \$2.3 billion as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and are included in current and long-term debt on the condensed balance sheets. Transition Funding has securitized transition assets of \$2 billion and \$2.1 billion as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, which are presented separately on the face of the condensed balance sheets. The securitized transition assets represent the right to impose and collect Texas true-up costs from customers receiving electric transmission or distribution service from TCC under recovery mechanisms approved by the PUCT. The securitization bonds are payable only from and secured by the securitized transition assets. The bondholders have no recourse to TCC or any other AEP entity. TCC acts as the servicer for Transition Funding for interest and principal payments on the securitization bonds and related costs.

The balances below represent the assets and liabilities of Transition Funding that are consolidated. These balances include intercompany transactions that are eliminated upon consolidation.

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMP VARIABLE INTER			20						
March 31, 2013 and D									
(in thousands)									
	Transition Funding								
ASSETS		2013	2012						
Current Assets	\$	174,369	\$	249,286					
Other Noncurrent Assets (a)		2,113,659		2,167,217					
Total Assets	\$	2,288,028	\$	2,416,503					
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY									
Current Liabilities	\$	293,139	\$	303,775					
Noncurrent Liabilities		1,976,831		2,094,678					
Equity		18,058		18,050					
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$	2,288,028	\$	2,416,503					

## AED TEVAS CENTRAL COMPANY AND SUBSIDIADIES

(a) Includes an intercompany item eliminated in consolidation as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 of \$87 million and \$89 million, respectively.

AEPSC provides certain managerial and professional services to AEP's subsidiaries. AEP is the sole equity owner of AEPSC. AEP management controls the activities of AEPSC. The costs of the services are based on a direct charge or on a prorated basis and billed to the AEP subsidiary companies at AEPSC's cost. AEP subsidiaries have not provided financial or other support outside the reimbursement of costs for services rendered. AEPSC finances its operations through cost reimbursement from other AEP subsidiaries. There are no other terms or arrangements between AEPSC and any of the AEP subsidiaries that could require additional financial support from an AEP subsidiary or expose them to losses outside of the normal course of business. AEPSC and its billings are subject to regulation by the FERC. AEP subsidiaries are exposed to losses to the extent they cannot recover the costs of AEPSC through their normal business operations. AEP subsidiaries are considered to have a significant interest in AEPSC due to their activity in AEPSC's cost reimbursement structure. However, AEP subsidiaries do not have control over AEPSC. AEPSC is consolidated by AEP. In the event AEPSC would require financing or other support outside the cost reimbursement billings, this financing would be provided by AEP. TCC's total billings from AEPSC for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$15 million and \$14 million, respectively. The carrying amount of liabilities associated with AEPSC as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$6 million and \$10 million, respectively. Management estimates the maximum exposure of loss to be equal to the amount of such liability.

## **12. SUSTAINABLE COST REDUCTIONS**

In April 2012, management initiated a process to identify strategic repositioning opportunities and efficiencies that will result in sustainable cost savings. Management selected a consulting firm to facilitate an organizational and process evaluation and a second firm to evaluate current employee benefit programs. The process resulted in involuntary severances and was completed by the end of the first quarter of 2013. The severance program provides two weeks of base pay for every year of service along with other severance benefits.

TCC recorded a charge of \$3.2 million to Other Operation expense in 2012 primarily related to severance benefits as a result of the sustainable cost reductions initiative. In addition, the sustainable cost reduction activity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is described in the following table:

	nce as of	Expense Allocation from			attlad	Adjustments	Remaining Balance as of Moreb 31, 201	
Decembe	er 31, 2012	AEPSC	Incurred		ettled	Adjustments	March 31, 201	3
(in thousands)								
\$	699	\$ 297	\$	- \$	(713)	\$ (229)	\$	54

These expenses, net of adjustments, relate primarily to severance benefits and are included primarily in Other Operation expense on the condensed statements of income. The remaining liability is included in Other Current Liabilities on the condensed balance sheets. Management does not expect additional costs to be incurred related to this initiative.

- THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK -