American Electric Power
801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 320
Washington, DC 20004-2615
AEP.com

February 26, 2014
Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426
Re: American Electric Power Service Corporation
Docket No. ER14-1375-000
Dear Secretary Bose:
Pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. Section 824(d), and Section 35.13 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("FERC" or "Commission") regulations, American Electric Power Service Corporation ("AEPSC"), on behalf of its affiliates, Appalachian Power Company, Indiana Michigan Power Company, Kentucky Power Company, Kingsport Power Company, Ohio Power Company, and Wheeling Power Company (together, "AEP East Operating Companies"), ${ }^{1}$ submits for filing revisions to the formula rate of the AEP East Operating Companies, Attachment H-14 of the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. ("PJM") Open Access Transmission Tariff ("PJM Tariff") to update the base Post-employment Benefits Other than Pensions ("PBOP") expense as contemplated in the formula rate settlement approved by the Commission ("Attachment H-14 Settlement"). ${ }^{2}$

## I. Background

In Docket No. ER08-1329, AEP submitted for filing a formula rate and implementation protocols for the AEP pricing zone under Attachment H-14 of the PJM Tariff. The Commission accepted AEP's rate filing subject to hearing and settlement judge procedures and a compliance filing. ${ }^{3}$ AEP and the intervening parties in Docket No. ER08-1329 ultimately settled all issues raised with respect to the formula rate, and the Commission approved the Attachment H-14 Settlement on October 1, 2010. ${ }^{4}$
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The principles of the Attachment H -14 Settlement are incorporated into the PJM Tariff as Appendix A to Attachment H-14A. Section I.C. 6 provides that, during the annual update process conducted in 2013 (and every four years thereafter), AEP will undergo a review of PBOP costs and submit a single issue filing under Section 205 of the FPA to update the PBOP expense in the formula rate provided certain thresholds are met. In particular, Section I.C.6.iii provides:

During the annual update process conducted in 2013, and every four years thereafter, Worksheet O will be used to determine whether, and if so by what amount, the PBOP allowance should be adjusted going forward for the next four years. If the Annual Actuarial Report produced for that year projects PBOP costs during the next four years, taken together with the then current cumulative PBOP cost/allowance position, will, absent a change in the PBOP allowance, cause the AEP Companies to over or under collect their cumulative PBOP costs by more than $20 \%$ of the projected next four year's total cost, the PBOP allowance shall be adjusted.

Section I.C.6.iii proceeds to describe the methodology for calculating the percentage of over or under collection, explaining:

In order to determine whether the AEP Companies' cumulative allowance of PBOP costs under the formula rate will result in a cumulative over or underrecovery of actual PBOP expenses exceeding $20 \%$ over the subsequent four year period, Worksheet O will be used to determine the following PBOB cost/allowance values:
(a) the level of cumulative over or under collections during the period since the PBOP allowance was last set, including carrying costs based on the weighted average cost of capital ("WACC") each year from the Formula rate True-Up transmission cost-of-service ("TCOS") analyses;
(b) the cumulative net present value ("CNPV") of projected PBOP costs during the next four years, as estimated by the then current Actuarial Report, assuming a discount rate equal to the True-Up TCOS WACC for the prior calendar year ("Prior Year WACC"); and
(c) the CNPV of continued collections over the next four years based on the then effective PBOP allowance, assuming a discount rate equal to the Prior Year WACC.

If the absolute value of (a) + (b) - (c) exceeds 20\% of (b), then the PBOP allowance used in the formula rate calculation shall be changed to the value that will cause the projected result of (a) + (b) - (c) to equal zero. If the projected over or under collection during the next four years, (a) + (b) - (c), will be less than $20 \%$ of (b), then the PBOP Allowance will continue in effect for the next four years at the then effective rate.
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Section I.6.C.iii further prescribes next steps in the event the calculation results in an over or under-recovery of more than $20 \%$, explaining:

If it is determined through the foregoing procedure that the AEP Companies' cumulative PBOP expense allowance will over-recover or under-recover actual PBOP expenses by more than $20 \%$ over the subsequent four-year period, AEP shall make a filing under FPA § 205 to change the PBOP expense stated in the formula rate. No other changes to the formula rate may be included in that filing. Neither AEP nor any Settling Party may raise in connection with such filing any issue affecting the formula rate other than the level of allowable PBOP expense.

## II. Description of Proposed Changes

Consistent with the requirements of the Attachment H-14 Settlement, AEP conducted a review of its PBOP costs and determined that the current expense allowance will cause the AEP East Operating Companies to over collect their cumulative PBOP costs by more than $20 \%$ of the projected next four years' total cost. In particular, as shown in the analysis attached as Attachment A to this filing, the current PBOP allowance contained in Attachment H-14 (\$48.1 million) will exceed the $20 \%$ threshold, with an over recovery $58 \%$ greater than the projected amount supported by current actuarial projections.

Consequently, through this single issue Section 205 filing, AEP seeks an adjustment to the PBOP expense allowance provided in Attachment $\mathrm{H}-14$ to decrease the base PBOP expense to approximately $\$ 30$ million. Although the difference between the current PBOP allowance contained in Attachment $\mathrm{H}-14$ of $\$ 48.1$ million and the revised amount of $\$ 30$ million is $\$ 18.1$ million, the effect on the revenue requirement will be a fraction of that amount - a decrease of approximately $\$ 1,000,000$. This is because AEP uses an allocator methodology to assign recoverable PBOP expenses among the various AEP affiliates.

## III. Effective Date and Waiver Request

As contemplated in the principles of the Attachment H-14 Settlement, AEP seeks an effective date of July 1, 2014 of the proposed changes to update the PBOP expense allowance as described herein. AEP respectfully requests that the Commission waive provisions of section 35.13 or any other applicable regulation to the extent necessary to permit this request.

While implementation of AEP's request will result in an overall decrease in the revenue requirement, AEP notes that the process and criteria for revising the PBOP expense allowance was an agreed-upon aspect of the Attachment H -14 Settlement. Therefore, the request in this filing relates to the implementation of the formula rate as originally approved and is not a change to the design of the formula rate itself.

## IV. Contents of this Filing

This filing consists of the following documents:
a. This transmittal letter;
b. A spreadsheet setting forth the calculation of the change in the PBOP expense (Attachment A);
c. A marked version of Attachment H-14B (Attachment B); and
d. A clean version of Attachment H -14B (Attachment C).

Pursuant to Section 35.7 of the Commission's regulations, ${ }^{5}$ the contents of this filing are being submitted as part of an XML filing package that conforms to the Commission's eTariff instructions.

## V. Service

PJM has served a copy of this filing on all PJM Members and on all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region by posting this filing electronically. In accordance with the Commission's regulations, ${ }^{6}$ PJM will post a copy of this filing to the FERC filings section of its internet site, located at the following link: http://www.pjm.com/documents/ferc-manuals/ferc-filings.aspx with a specific link to the newly-filed document, and will send an email on the same date as this filing to all PJM Members and all state utility regulatory commissions in the PJM Region ${ }^{7}$ alerting them that this filing has been made by PJM and is available by following such link. PJM also serves the parties listed on the Commission's official service list for this docket. If the document is not immediately available by using the referenced link, the document will be available through the referenced link within 24 hours of the filing. Also, a copy of this filing will be available on the Commission's eLibrary website located at the following link: http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp in accordance with the Commission's regulations and Order No. 714.

Additionally, copies of this filing are also being made available on AEP's website at: http://www.aep.com/about/codeofconduct/OASIS/TariffFilings/

## VI. Correspondence

Correspondence relating to this filing should be addressed to:

Amanda Riggs Conner
American Electric Power
Service Corporation
801 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 320

David B. Weiss
American Electric Power
Service Corporation
1 Riverside Plaza

5 Pursuant to Order No. 714, this filing is submitted by PJM on behalf of AEPSC as part of an XML filing package that conforms to the Commission's regulations. PJM has agreed to make all filings on behalf of the PJM Transmission Owners in order to retain administrative control over the PJM Tariff. Thus, AEPSC has requested PJM submit this revised Attachment H-14B in the eTariff system as part of PJM's electronic Intra PJM Tariff.
$6 \quad$ See 18C.F.R §§ 35.2(e) and 385.2010(f)(3).
$7 \quad$ PJM already maintains, updates and regularly uses e-mail lists for all PJM members and affected state commissions.
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Washington, DC 20004-2615
Telephone: (202) 383-3436
e-mail: arconner@aep.com

Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 716-2607
e-mail: dbweiss@aep.com

## VII. Conclusion

Wherefore, AEP respectfully requests that the Commission accept these revised tariff sheets, effective July 1, 2014 for the AEP East Operating Companies and grant any applicable waivers.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Amanda R. Conner

Amanda R. Conner
Senior Counsel
American Electric Power Service Corporation
Enclosures

## ATTACHMENT A

Calculation of the change in the PBOP expense recovered through the Annual Transmission Formula Rates for AEP East Operating Companies
For Network Integration Transmission Service
Included as Attachment $\mathbf{H - 1 4 B}$ to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

## ine <br> Section 1) Calculation of Projected Recovery Position On Total Company Basis

A. Summary of All Companies' OPEB Actual Vs. Allowance


Projected Cumulative Balance As as a Percent of the Net Present Value of the Four Year Projected
NOTE 3: If the absolute value of this amount is greater than $20 \%$ of the cumulative net present value of the sum of the projected functionalized OPEB expense, the OPEB allowance will need to be revised via a 205 Filing per the FERC.

## Section 2) Calculation of Annual (Over) / Under Recovery by Total Company and Functional Transmission



Allocation of OPEB Settlment for 2010:

| Company | Actual Expense (Including AEPSC Billed OPEB |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) |
| 35 APCo | 13,207,032 |
| 36 CSP | 7,759,634 |
| $37 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{\& M}$ | 13,602,157 |
| 38 KPCo | 2,592,157 |
| 39 KNGP | 386,298 |
| 40 OPCo | 12,241,700 |
| 41 WPCo | 480,113 |
| 42 Sum of Lines 35 to 41 | 50,269,091 |


| Company | Actual Expense (Including AEPSC Billed OPEB |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) |
| 43 APCo | 7,091,350 |
| 44 Ім | 9,877,771 |
| 45 KPCo | 1,688,311 |
| 46 KNGP | 213,130 |
| 47 OPCo | 9,085,422 |
| 48 WPCo | 246,769 |
| 49 Sum of Lines 43 to 48 | 28,202,753 |

Allocation of OPEB Settlment for 2012

| Company | Actual Expense (Including AEPSC Billed OPEB |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) |
| 50 APCo | 11,359,793 |
| 51 \&M | 10,586,657 |
| 52 KPCo | 2,188,039 |
| 53 KNGP | 277,875 |
| 54 OPCo | 16,164,303 |
| 55 WPCo | 314,520 |
| 56 Sum of Lines 50 to 55 | 40,891,187 |

Total Company Amoun
Ratio of Company Allocation of PBOB Actual to Total Recovery Allowan
(B)=(A)/Total (A) (C) $\quad(\mathrm{B}) * 48100000$ Avoided Expense
Recoverable Expense

Annual Functional | Prior Year Cumulative |
| :---: |
| Functional |

Expense (Over)/Under (Over)/Under Recovery Company WACC
Carrying Charge o
Cumulative
(Over) Under

Cumulative ver)/Under Recovery
@ Year End
(D) $\quad(\mathrm{E})=(\mathrm{A}) *(\mathrm{D}) \quad(\mathrm{F})=(\mathrm{C}) *(\mathrm{D}) \quad(\mathrm{G})=(\mathrm{E})-(\mathrm{F})$
(H)
(I)
$(\mathrm{J})=((\mathrm{G}) / 2+(\mathrm{H}))^{*}(\mathrm{I})$
(K) $=(\mathrm{G})+(\mathrm{H})+(\mathrm{J})$

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $8.35 \%$ | 28,503 | 391,199 |
| $8.60 \%$ | 9,945 | 135,560 |
| $8.95 \%$ | 12,797 | 171,063 |
| $8.70 \%$ | 7,008 | 93,131 |
| $7.33 \%$ | 1,150 | 17,538 |
| $8.46 \%$ | 28,173 | 385,435 |
| $9.32 \%$ | 674 | 8,469 |
|  | 88,250 | $1,202,396$ |

Total Company Amount

## Ratio of Company Allocation of PBOB Actual to Total Recovery Allowance

| $25.14 \%$ | $12,094,349$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $35.02 \%$ | $16,846,610$ |
| $5.99 \%$ | $2,879,427$ |
| $0.76 \%$ | 363,459 |
| $32.21 \%$ | $15,495,253$ |
| $0.87 \%$ | 420,86 |

Total Company Amount

## Ratio of Company Allocation of PBOB

 Actual to Total Recovery Allowance (B) $=(\mathrm{A}) / T$ total $(\mathrm{A}) \quad$ (C ) $)=(\mathrm{B}) * 48100000$ LaRecoverable
Recoverabl
Expense
(F) $=$ (C) * (D)

(I)
 $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Cumulative on } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cumulative } \\ \text { (Over)/Under Recov }\end{array}\end{array}$ $(\mathrm{J})=((\mathrm{G}) / 2+(\mathrm{H})) *(\mathrm{I}) \quad(\mathrm{K})=(\mathrm{G})+(\mathrm{H})+(\mathrm{J})$

| $8.10 \%$ | 1,130 | $(55,828)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8.96 \%$ | $(10,389)$ | $(156,591)$ |
| $8.78 \%$ | $(1,538)$ | $(37,751)$ |
| $8.69 \%$ | $(194)$ | $(5,647)$ |
| $8.55 \%$ | 5,786 | $(23,010)$ |
| $9.89 \%$ | $(84)$ | $(3,112)$ |
| $8.555 \%$ | $(5,290)$ | $(290,939)$ |

Line\#

| Section 3) PBOP Charges Per Actuarial Report By Company For Four Year Projected Period Total Company |  |  |  |  | (E) | Functionalized to Transmission |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Average Labor | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| 57 APCo | 10,705,930 | 9,454,654 | 8,433,056 | 7,737,727 | 7.025\% | 752,077 | 664,176 | 592,410 | 543,564 |
| $58 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{\& M}$ | 9,867,406 | 9,136,839 | 8,549,767 | 8,183,928 | 4.288\% | 423,158 | 391,828 | 366,652 | 350,963 |
| 59 KPCo | 1,971,261 | 1,762,585 | 1,588,648 | 1,468,929 | 9.074\% | 178,871 | 159,936 | 144,153 | 133,290 |
| 60 KNGP | 246,719 | 218,984 | 196,815 | 181,709 | 11.040\% | 27,239 | 24,177 | 21,729 | 20,062 |
| 61 OPCo | 13,382,202 | 11,821,252 | 10,554,265 | 9,696,424 | 6.567\% | 878,829 | 776,319 | 693,114 | 636,778 |
| ${ }_{63}^{62}$ WPCo Sum of Lines 57 to 62 | 276,389 | 241,218 | 212,450 | 192,701 | 5.436\% | 15,024 | 13,112 | 11,548 | 10,475 |
|  | 36,449,906 | 32,635,533 | 29,535,002 | 27,461,419 |  | 2,275,197 | 2,029,548 | 1,829,607 | 1,695,132 |
|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| APCo | 1,038,300 | 976,660 | 916,922 | 869,547 | 841,981 |  |  |  |  |
| ı\& | 795,128 | 747,924 | 702,177 | 665,897 | 644,787 |  |  |  |  |
| KPCo | 193,112 | 181,648 | 170,537 | 161,726 | 156,599 |  |  |  |  |
| KNGP | 30,141 | 28,352 | 26,617 | 25,242 | 24,442 |  |  |  |  |
| OPCo | 1,430,998 | 1,346,045 | 1,263,713 | 1,198,421 | 1,160,429 |  |  |  |  |
| WPCo | 32,337 | 30,417 | 28,557 | 27,081 | 26,223 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,520,016 | 3,311,045 | 3,108,524 | 2,947,915 | 2,854,462 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial Report <br> 2012 based on books records | 19,898,209 | 18,716,921 | 17,572,096 | 16,664,191 | 16,135,913 |  |  |  |  |

## ATTACHMENT B

## Revisions to Section(s) of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff

(Marked / Redline Format)

## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet G Supporting - Development of Composite State Income Tax Rate
company name here

## State \#1 Tax Rate

Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate


State \#2 Tax Rate
Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate

State \#3 Tax Rate
Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate
State \#4 Tax Rate
Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate
0.00\%

Total Effective State Income Tax Rate

The Ohio State Income Tax is being phased-out pro rata over a 5 year period from 2005 through 2009. The taxable


Note 2: This adjustment will apply to AEP Ohio only. This adjustment will be in effect for the Annual Updates prepared in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016.

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances
Worksheet H-1 Form 1 Source Reference of Company Amounts on WS H
(A)
(B)
(C)
(D)

## Line

Total FERC FORM 1
Annual Tax Expenses by Type (Note 1)
Company $\qquad$
1 Revenue Taxes
Revenue Tax 1


## Real Estate and Personal Property Taxes

Real and Personal Property - Jurisdiction 1
Real and Personal Property - Other Jurisdictions

Payroll Taxes
Federal Insurance Contribution (FICA )

Federal Unemployment Tax

State Unemployment Insurance

Payroll Taxes

Production Taxes
Production Tax 1

## Miscellaneous Taxes

Miscellaneous Tax
Miscellaneous Tax 2

Miscellaneous Tax 3

Miscellaneous Tax 4

Miscellaneous Tax 5

Miscellaneous Tax 6

Miscellaneous Tax 7

Miscellaneous Tax 8

Total Taxes by Allocable Basis
(Total Company Amount Ties to FFI p.114, Ln 14,(c))

Note 1: The taxes assessed on each operating company can differ from year to year and between operating companies by both the type of taxes and the states in which they were assessed. Therefore, for each company, the types and jurisdictions of tax expense recorded on this page could differ from the same page in the same company's prior year template or from this page in other operating companies' current year templates. For each update, this sheet will be revised to ensure that the total activity recorded hereon equals the total reported in account 408.1 on $P$. 114, Ln 14 of the FERC Form 1.

## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet I Supporting Transmission Plant in Service Additions


## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet J Supporting Calculation of PROJECTED PJM RTEP Project Revenue Requirement Billed to Benefiting Zones COMPANY NAME HER
I. Calculate Return and Income Taxes with basis point ROE increase for Projects Qualified for Regional Billing.

## A. Determine 'R' with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE for Identified Projects

ROE w/o incentives (Projected TCOS, In 164)
Project ROE Incentive Adde
ROE with additional basis point incentive
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Retermine R (cost of long term debt, cost } & 0.00 \%\end{array}$

|  | $\frac{\%}{\text { Cost }}$ |  | Weighted cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Long Term Debt | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Preferred Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Common Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $\mathrm{R}=$ |
|  |  |  | $\underline{0.000 \%}$ |
|  |  |  | $0.000 \%$ |

0.00\%
$0.00 \%$ <== ROE Including Incentives Cannot Exceed 12.74\% Until July 1, 2012
Weins 162 throu
0.000\%
$0.000 \%$
$0.000 \%$
0.000\%
0.000\%

Rate Base (Projected TCOS, In 78)
R (from A. above)
-
Return (Rate Base $\times$ R)
C. Determine Income Taxes using Return with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects. Return (from B. above)
Effective Tax Rate (Projected TCOS, In 126)
Income Tax Calculation (Return x CIT)
ITC Adjustment
Income Taxes
II. Calculate Net Plant Carrying Charge Rate (Fixed Charge Rate or FCR) with hypothetical basis point ROE increase

## A. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement less return and Income Taxes.

Annual Revenue Requirement (Projected TCOS, In 1)
T.E.A. \& Lease Payments (Projected TCOS, Lns 105 \& 106)

Return (Projected TCOS, In 134)
Income Taxes (Projected TCOS, In 133)
Annual Revenue Requirement, Less TEA Charges, Return and Taxes
B. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE

Annual Revenue Requirement, Less TEA Charges, Return and Taxes
Return (from I.B. above)
Income Taxes (from I.C. above)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase Depreciation (Projected TCOS, In 111)
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
C. Determine FCR with hypothetical basis point ROE increase

Net Transmission Plant (Projected TCOS, In 48)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase
FCR with Basis Point increase in ROE
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Dep.
FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
FCR less Depreciation (Projected TCOS, In 9)
Incremental FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
Calculation of Composite Depreciation Rate
Transmission Plant @ Beginning of Historic Period (Historic Year) (P.206, In 58,(b)): Transmission Plant @ End of Historic Period (Historic Year) (P.207, In 58,(g)):
$\qquad$
\$ -
$\qquad$
.
Composite Depreciation Rate

## Worksheet J - ATRR PROJECTED Calculation for PJM Projects Charged to Benefiting Zones

IV. Determine the Revenue Requirement, and Additional Revenue Requirement for facilities receiving incentives
A. Base Plan Facilities


Project Totals
** This is the total amount that needs to be reported to PJM for billing to all regions.
\#\# This is the calculation of additional incentive revenue on projects deemed by the FERC to be eligible for an incentive return. This
additional incentive requirement is applicable for the life of this specific project. Each year the revenue requirement calculated for PJM should be
incremented by the amount of the incentive revenue calculated for that year on this project.
 requirement in the year that the project goes into service has been annualized (shown at the full-year level) so that PJM will collect the correct monthly billings.

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet K Supporting Calculation of TRUE-UP PJM RTEP Project Revenue Requirement Billed to Benefiting Zones
COMPANY NAME HER
I. Calculate Return and Income Taxes with basis point ROE increase for Projects Qualified for Regional Billing.
. Determine 'R' with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE for Identified Projects
tives (True-Up TCOS, In 164)
Project ROE Incentive Adder
$0.00 \%$
ROE with additional basis point incentive
0.00\% <==ROE Adder Cannot Exceed 100 Basis Points

Determine R (cost of long term debt, cost of preferred stock and equity percentage is from the True-Up TCOS, Ins 162 through164)

|  | $\underline{\%} \%$ | $\underline{\text { Cost }}$ | Weighted cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long Term Debt | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Preferred Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Common Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | R = |
|  |  | $\underline{0.000 \%}$ |  |
| $0.000 \%$ |  |  |  |

B. Determine Return using 'R' with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects Rate Base (True-Up TCOS, In 78 )

R (from A. above)
0.000\%

Return (Rate Base $\times$ R)
C. Determine Income Taxes using Return with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects.

Return (from B. above)
Effective Tax Rate (True-Up TCOS, In 126)
$0.00 \%$
ITC Adjustment
Income Taxes
II. Calculate Net Plant Carrying Charge Rate (Fixed Charge Rate or FCR) with hypothetical basis point ROE increase. A. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement less return and Income Taxes.

Annual Revenue Requirement (True-Up TCOS, In 1)
T.E.A. \& Lease Payments (True-Up TCOS, Lns 105 \& 106)

Return (True-Up TCOS, In 134)
Incomel Reve (tue-Up TCOS, In 133)
B. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement with Return and Taxes
B. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE

Annual Revenue Requirement, Less TEA Charges, Return and Taxes
Return (from I.B. above)
Income Taxes (from I.C. above)
Depreciation (True-Up TCOS, In 111)
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
. Determine FCR with hypothetical basis point ROE increase
Net Transmission Plant (True-Up TCOS, In 48)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase
FCR with Basis Point increase in ROE
Annual Rev. Req, w/Basis Point ROE increase, less Dep.
FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
FCR less Depreciation (True-Up TCOS, In 9)
II. Calculation of Composite Dopriation Rate

Calculation of Composite Depreciation Rate
Transmission Plant @ Beginning of Historic Period () (P.206, In 58,(b)):
ransmission Plant @ End of Historic Period () (P.207, In 58,(g)):
Subtotal
Average Transmission Plant Balance for
Annual Depreciation Rate (True-Up TCOS, In 111)

| SUMMARY OF TRUED-UP ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR RTEP PROJECTS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \|r | Rev Require |
| TRUE-UP YEAR | Historic Year Incentives |

SUMMARY OF TRUED-UP ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR RTEP PROJECTS TRUE-UP YEAR $\begin{array}{r}\text { Rev Requr } \\ \text { Historic Year }\end{array}$

As Projected in Prior Year WS J
Actual after True-up
True-up of ARR For Historic Year

COMPANY NAME HERE Worksheet K - ATRR TRUE-UP Calculation for PJM Projects Charged to Benefiting Zones
IV. Determine the Revenue Requirement, and Additional Revenue Requirement for facilities receiving incentives A. Base Plan Facilities

Facilities receiving incentives accepted by FERC in Docket No.
(e.g. ER05-925-000)

Project
Pescription:

| Page 2 of 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Historic Year | Rev Require | W Incentives | Incentive Amounts |
| Projected | - | - | - |
| Prior Yr True- |  |  |  |
| Up | - | - | - |
| True-Up |  |  |  |



Project Totals
** This is the total amount that needs to be reported to PJM for billing
to all regions.
\#\# This is the calculation of additional incentive revenue on projects deemed by the FERC to be eligible for an incentive return. This
additional incentive requirement is applicable for the life of this specific project. Each year the revenue requiremen calculated for PJM
should be incremented by the amount of the incentive revenue calculated for that year on this
project.

TRUE UP OF PROJECT REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR YEAR CUMULATIVE HISTORY OF TRUED-UP ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

INPUT TRUE-UP ARR (WITH \& WITHOUT INCENTIVES) FROM EACH PRIOR YEAR TEMPLATE BELOW TO MAINTAIN HISTORY OF TRUED-UP ARRS OVER THE LIFE TEMPLATE BELOW
OF THE PROJECT


Calculation of Projected Interest Expense Based on Outstanding Debt at Year End

| $(A)$ | (B) | (C) | (D) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Line <br> Number |
| :---: |

Issuance
Principle Outstanding
Interest Rate
$\frac{\text { Annual Expense }}{\text { (See Note S on }}$
Long Term Debt (FF1.p.
256-257.h)

41 Eligible Hedging Gains and Losses (WS M,
Ln 35, (E))
Total Projected Capital Structure Balance for Historic Year+1 (Projected TCOS, Ln 165)
43 Financial Hedge Recovery Limit - Five Basis Points of Total Capital
0.0005

Limit of Recoverable Amount
45

## Installment Purchase Contracts (FF1.p. 256-257.h, a)



Premium, \& Expenses:
Auction Fees
FF1.p. 256 \& 257.Lines Described as Fees
Allowable Hedge Amortization (See Ln 45 Below)
Amort of Debt Discount
and Expenses
Amort of Debt
Premimums (Enter Negative)

Reacquired Debt:


FF1.p. 117.65.c
0.00\% $\square$

## Preferred Shares <br> Outstanding <br> $\frac{\text { Preferred Stock }}{\text { Preferred Shares }}$ <br> (FF1.p. 250-251)

Recoverable Hedge Amortization (Lesser of Ln 41 or Ln 44)


AEP East Companies
Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate
COMPANY NAME HERE
Worksheet M Supporting Calculation of Capital Structure and Weighted Average Cost of Capital Based on Average of Balances At 12/31/Historic Year-1 \& 12/31/Historic Year

| (A) | (B) | (C) <br> Balances @ 12/31/Historic | (D) <br> Balances @ 12/31/Historic | (E) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  | Year | Year-1 | Average |
| Development of Average Balance of |  |  |  |  |
| Common Equity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Proprietary Capital (112.16.c\&d) |  |  | - |
|  | Less Preferred Stock (Ln 55 Below) | 0 |  | - |
|  | Less Account 216.1 (112.12.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
|  | Less Account 219.1 (112.15.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 5 | Average Balance of Common Equity |  |  | - |
| Development of Cost of Long Term Debt Based on Average Outstanding |  |  |  |  |
| Balance |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Bonds (112.18.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 7 | Less: Reacquired Bonds (112.19.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 8 | LT Advances from Assoc. Companies (11 | (112.20.c\&d) |  | - |
| 9 | Senior Unsecured Notes (112.21.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 10 | Less: Fair Value Hedges (See Note on L | 2 below) |  | 0 |
| 11 | Total Average Debt | - - |  |  |
|  | NOTE: The balance of fair value hedg | on outstanding | g term debt ar | to be excluded fr |
| 12 | included in the formula's capital struc | . (Column H of | FF1) |  |
| 13 | Annual Interest Expense for Historic Y |  |  |  |
| 14 | Interest on Long Term Debt (256-257.33 |  |  |  |
| 15 | Less: Total Hedge Gain/Expense Accum | ed from p 256-25 | col. (i) of FERC | orm 1 included in |
| 16 | Plus: Allowed Hedge Recovery From Ln | below. |  | - |
| 17 | Amort of Debt Discount \& Expense (117. |  |  |  |
| 18 | Amort of Loss on Reacquired Debt (117. |  |  |  |
| 19 | Less: Amort of Premium on Debt (117.65 |  |  |  |
| 20 | Less: Amort of Gain on Reacquired Debt | 7.66.c) |  |  |
| 21 | Total Interest Expense (Ln 14 + Ln 17 | n 18 - Ln 19 - Ln |  | - |
| 22 | Average Cost of Debt for Historic Yea | n 21/Ln 11) |  | 0.00\% |

CALCULATION OF RECOVERABLE HEDGE GAINS/LOSSES
23 NOTE: The net amount of hedging gains or losses recorded in account 427 to be recovered in this formula rate should be limited to the effective portion of pre-issuance cash flow hedges that are amortized over the life of the underlying debt issuances. The recovery of a net loss or passback of a net gain will be limited to five basis points of the total Capital Structure. Amounts related to the ineffective portion of pre-issuance hedges, cash settlements of fair value hedges issued on Long Term Debt, post-issuance cash flow hedges, and cash flow hedges of variable rate debt issuances are not recoverable in this formula and are to be recorded in the "Excludable" column below. Amortization Period


## AEP East Companies

## gistoric Year FF1 Balances

Worksheet $\mathbf{N}$ - Gains (Losses) on Sales of Plant Held For Future Use
Note: Gain or loss on plant held for future are recorded in accounts 411.6 or 411.7 respectively. Sales will be functionalized based on the description of that asset. Sales of
 ransmission assets will be direct assigned; sales of general assets will be functionalized on labor. Sales of plant held for future use related to generation or distribution will not be included in the formula
(A)

Line
Property Description

| (C) |
| :---: |
| Function (T) or (G) <br> $T=$ Transmission <br> $G=$ General |
|  |


| (D) | (E) | (F) <br> Basis <br> (Gain) / Loss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Net (Gain) or Loss for Historic Year |  |  |



AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 -Historic Year FF1
Balances
Worksheet O - Calculation of Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions Expenses Allocable to Transmission Service
COMPANY NAME HERE
Total AEP East Operating Company PBOP Settlement Amount
Allocation of PBOP Settlement Amount for
2008Historic Year:


## AEP EAST COMPANIES

## Worksheet - P CALCULATION OF

TOTAL WEIGHTED AVERAGE DEPRECIATION RATES
FOR TRANSMISSION PLANT PROPERTY ACCOUNT
EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009
FOR MULTIPLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
APPALACHIAN POWER COMPANY


## GENERAL NOTES:

The rates for each AEP company have been approved by their respective regulatory commissions
APCo falls under the authority of Virginia, West Virginia and the FERC. Therefore, APCo's rates are a composite of the jurisdictions under which it operates. Each jurisdictions' rate is multiplied by an allocation factor, and the product for each jurisdiction is added with the other jurisdictions to derive the composite rate for the company.
er the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiple-state companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

Worksheet - P CALCULATION OF

TOTAL WEIGHTED AVERAGE DEPRECIATION RATES
FOR TRANSMISSION PLANT PROPERTY ACCOUNT
EFFECTIVE AS OF 4/1/2012
FOR MULTIPLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

|  | IndiANA |  |  |  | MICHIGAN |  |  | FERC WHOLESALE |  |  | COMPANY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLANT } \\ & \text { ACCT. } \end{aligned}$ | (1) <br> IURC <br> RATES | ALLOCATION FACTOR (4) | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE | (2) MPSC APPROVED RATES | ALLOCATION <br> FACTOR <br> (4) | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE | (3) <br> FERC <br> RATES | ALLOCATION <br> FACTOR <br> (4) | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Improvements | 350.1 | 1.1600\% | 0.654549 | 0.7593\% | 1.1700\% | 0.152798 | 0.1788\% | 1.1700\% | 0.192653 | 0.2254\% | 1.16\% |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | 1.1500\% | 0.654549 | 0.7527\% | 1.2700\% | 0.152798 | 0.1941\% | 1.2700\% | 0.192653 | 0.2447\% | 1.19\% |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | 1.4600\% | 0.654549 | 0.9556\% | 1.6500\% | 0.152798 | 0.2521\% | 1.6500\% | 0.192653 | 0.3179\% | 1.53\% |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | 1.4600\% | 0.654549 | 0.9556\% | 1.4400\% | 0.152798 | 0.2200\% | 1.4400\% | 0.192653 | 0.2774\% | 1.45\% |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | 2.1900\% | 0.654549 | 1.4335\% | 2.3900\% | 0.152798 | 0.3652\% | 2.3900\% | 0.192653 | 0.4604\% | 2.26\% |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | 1.2300\% | 0.654549 | 0.8051\% | 1.4500\% | 0.152798 | 0.2216\% | 1.4500\% | 0.192653 | 0.2793\% | 1.31\% |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | 1.4500\% | 0.654549 | 0.9491\% | 1.3900\% | 0.152798 | 0.2124\% | 1.3900\% | 0.192653 | 0.2678\% | 1.43\% |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | 1.3500\% | 0.654549 | 0.8836\% | 1.4600\% | 0.152798 | 0.2231\% | 1.4600\% | 0.192653 | 0.2813\% | 1.39\% |
| Trails \& Roads | 359.0 | 1.5000\% | 0.654549 | 0.9818\% | 1.4700\% | 0.152798 | 0.2246\% | 1.4700\% | 0.192653 | 0.2832\% | 1.49\% |

## (1) As approved in Indiana Case No. 43231.

(2) As approved in Michigan Case No. U16801.
(3) FERC wholesale formula rate agreements specify that the depreciation rates in the formula rates change upon approval of MPSC rates in the Michigan jurisdiction
 These allocation factors reflect I\&M's 12 monthly Coincident Peaks during test year of the most recent rate case.
GENERAL NOTES:
The rates for each AEP company have been approved by their respective regulatory commissions.
The rates for each AEP company have been approved by their respective regulatory commissions. i\&M falls under the authority of Indiana, Michigan and the FERC. Therefore, I\&M's rates are

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiple-state companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

# AEP EAST COMPANIES <br> PJM FORMULA RATE <br> WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES <br> EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009 <br> FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES <br> <br> KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY 

 <br> <br> KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY}

|  | PLANT <br> ACCT. | RATES <br> Note 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | $2.10 \%$ |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | $2.57 \%$ |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | $4.20 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | $2.50 \%$ |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | Note 2 |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | Note 2 |
| Composite Transmission Depreciation Rate |  | $\mathbf{2 . 5 9 \%}$ |

## Reference:

Note 1: Rates Approved In Tennessee Regulatory Authority Case No. U-84-7308.

Note 2: Kingsport Power Company does not have investment in plant accounts 357 or 358. Therefore, there are no depreciation rates approved for these plant accounts.

## General Note

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

PJM FORMULA RATE

## WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES

## EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009

FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

|  | PLANT <br> ACCT. | RATES <br> Note 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | $1.71 \%$ |
| Trails \& Roads | 359.0 | $1.71 \%$ |

## Reference:

Note 1: Rates Approved in Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 91-066.

## General Note:

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

## PJM FORMULA RATE

## WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES

EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2012
FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
OHIO POWER COMPANY

|  |  | RATES <br> Note 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | PLANT <br> ACCT. |  |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | $2.02 \%$ |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | $2.29 \%$ |
| Twrs and Fixtures Above 69 KV | 354.0 | $1.88 \%$ |
| Twrs and Fixtures Below 69 KV | 354.0 | $1.88 \%$ |
| Poles and Fixtures Above 69 KV |  |  |
| Poles and Fixtures Below 69 KV | 355.0 | $3.52 \%$ |
|  | 355.0 | $3.52 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductor \& Devices Above 69KV | 356.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductor \& Devices MSP | 356.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductor \& Devices 138KV/Above | 356.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductor \& Devices 69KV/Below | 356.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductor \& Devices CLR 69KV/Below | 356.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Underground Conduit |  |  |
| Underground Conductors | 357.0 | $2.26 \%$ |

## Reference:

Note 1: These are the weighted average of the depreciation rates in effect for
Columbus Southern Power and Ohio Power prior to the merger of Columbus Southern into Ohio Power

## General Note:

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiple state companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing

| AEP EAST COMPANIES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PJM FORMULA RATE |  |  |
| WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES |  |  |
| EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009 |  |  |
| FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES |  |  |
| WHEELING POWER COMPANY |  |  |
| PLANT |  |  |
|  | ACCT. | RATES |
|  |  | Note 1 |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | 2.70\% |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | 2.70\% |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | 2.70\% |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | 2.70\% |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | 2.70\% |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | 2.70\% |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | 2.70\% |
| Trails \& Roads | 359.0 | 2.70\% |

Note 1: Rates Approved in WV Public Service Commission Case No. PSC 90-243-E-42T

## General Note:

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

## ATTACHMENT C

# Revisions to Section(s) of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff 

## (Clean Format)

## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet G Supporting - Development of Composite State Income Tax Rate
company name here

## State \#1 Tax Rate

Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate


State \#2 Tax Rate
Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate

State \#3 Tax Rate
Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate
State \#4 Tax Rate
Apportionment Factor - Note 2
Effective State Tax Rate
0.00\%

Total Effective State Income Tax Rate

The Ohio State Income Tax is being phased-out pro rata over a 5 year period from 2005 through 2009. The taxable


Note 2: This adjustment will apply to AEP Ohio only. This adjustment will be in effect for the Annual Updates prepared in 2012, 2013, 2014,2015 and 2016.

## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using 2008 FF1 Balances
Worksheet H-1 Form 1 Source Reference of Company Amounts on WS H

| Line No. | Annual Tax Expenses by Type (Note 1) | Total <br> Company | FERC FORM 1 <br> Tie-Back | FERC FORM 1 Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Revenue Taxes |  |  |  |
| 2 | Revenue Tax 1 | - |  |  |
| 3 | Real Estate and Personal Property Taxes |  |  |  |
| 4 | Real and Personal Property - Jurisdiction 1 | - |  |  |
| 5 | Real and Personal Property - Other Jurisdictions | - |  |  |
| 6 | Payroll Taxes |  |  |  |
| 7 | Federal Insurance Contribution (FICA ) | - |  |  |
| 8 | Federal Unemployment Tax | - |  |  |
| 9 | State Unemployment Insurance | - |  |  |
| 10 | Payroll Taxes | - |  |  |
| 11 | Production Taxes |  |  |  |
| 12 | Production Tax 1 | - |  |  |
| 13 | Miscellaneous Taxes |  |  |  |
| 14 | Miscellaneous Tax 1 | - |  |  |
| 15 | Miscellaneous Tax 2 | - |  |  |
| 16 | Miscellaneous Tax 3 | - |  |  |
| 17 | Miscellaneous Tax 4 | - |  |  |
| 18 | Miscellaneous Tax 5 | - |  |  |
| 19 | Miscellaneous Tax 6 | - |  |  |
| 20 | Miscellaneous Tax 7 | - |  |  |
| 21 | Miscellaneous Tax 8 | - |  |  |
| 22 | Total Taxes by Allocable Basis | - | - |  |

(Total Company Amount Ties to FFI p.114, Ln 14,(c))

Note 1: The taxes assessed on each operating company can differ from year to year and between operating companies by both the type of taxes and the states in which they were assessed. Therefore, for each company, the types and jurisdictions of tax expense recorded on this page could differ from the same page in the same company's prior year template or from this page in other operating companies' current year templates. For each update, this sheet will be revised to ensure that the total activity recorded hereon equals the total reported in account 408.1 on P. 114, Ln 14 of the FERC Form 1.

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet I Supporting Transmission Plant in Service Additions


Calculation of Property Placed in Service by Month and the Related Depreciation Expense


List of Major Projects Expected to be In-Service in 2009
Estimated Cost
(000's)
Month in Service
Major Zonal
Projects

Subtotal

| PJM Socialized/Beneficiary Allocated Regional |
| :--- |
| Projects |

Subtotal

## AEP East Companies

Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet J Supporting Calculation of PROJECTED PJM RTEP Project Revenue Requirement Billed to Benefiting Zones COMPANY NAME HER
I. Calculate Return and Income Taxes with basis point ROE increase for Projects Qualified for Regional Billing.

## A. Determine 'R' with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE for Identified Projects

ROE w/o incentives (Projected TCOS, In 164)
Project ROE Incentive Adde
ROE with additional basis point incentive
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Retermine R (cost of long term debt, cost } & 0.00 \%\end{array}$

|  | $\frac{\%}{\text { Cost }}$ |  | Weighted cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Long Term Debt | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Preferred Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Common Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $\mathrm{R}=$ |
|  |  |  | $\underline{0.000 \%}$ |
|  |  |  | $0.000 \%$ |

0.00\%
$0.00 \%$ <== ROE Including Incentives Cannot Exceed 12.74\% Until July 1, 2012
Weins 162 throu
0.000\%
$0.000 \%$
$0.000 \%$
0.000\%
0.000\%

Rate Base (Projected TCOS, In 78)
R (from A. above)
-
Return (Rate Base x R)
C. Determine Income Taxes using Return with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects. Return (from B. above)
Effective Tax Rate (Projected TCOS, In 126)
Income Tax Calculation (Return x CIT)
ITC Adjustment
Income Taxes
II. Calculate Net Plant Carrying Charge Rate (Fixed Charge Rate or FCR) with hypothetical basis point ROE increase.

## A. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement less return and Income Taxes.

Annual Revenue Requirement (Projected TCOS, In 1)
T.E.A. \& Lease Payments (Projected TCOS, Lns 105 \& 106)

Return (Projected TCOS, In 134)
Income Taxes (Projected TCOS, In 133)
Annual Revenue Requirement, Less TEA Charges, Return and Taxes
B. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE

Annual Revenue Requirement, Less TEA Charges, Return and Taxes
Return (from I.B. above)
Income Taxes (from I.C. above)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase Depreciation (Projected TCOS, In 111)
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
C. Determine FCR with hypothetical basis point ROE increase.

Net Transmission Plant (Projected TCOS, In 48)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase
FCR with Basis Point increase in ROE
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Dep.
FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
FCR less Depreciation (Projected TCOS, In 9)
Incremental FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
Calculation of Composite Depreciation Rate
Transmission Plant @ Beginning of Historic Period (Historic Year) (P.206, In 58,(b)): Transmission Plant @ End of Historic Period (Historic Year) (P.207, In 58,(g)):
$\qquad$
\$ -
$\qquad$
.
Composite Depreciation Rate

## Worksheet $\mathbf{J}$ - ATRR PROJECTED Calculation for PJM Projects Charged to Benefiting Zones

IV. Determine the Revenue Requirement, and Additional Revenue Requirement for facilities receiving incentives.

| A. Base Plan Facilities <br> Facilities receiving incentives accepted by FERC in Docket No. |  |  |  |  | e.g. ER05-925-000) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Description: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment |  | Current Year |  |  |  | Projected Year |  |
| Service Year (yyyy) |  | ROE increase accepted by FERC (Basis Points) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Month (1-12) |  | FCR w/o incentives, less depreciation |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| Useful life |  | FCR w/incentives approved for these facilities, less dep. |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| CIAC (Yes or No) |  | Annual Depreciation Expense |  |  |  | - |  |
| Investment | Beginning | Depreciation | Ending | RTEP Rev. Req't. | RTEP Rev. Req't. |  | Rev. |
| Year | Balance | Expense | Balance | w/o Incentives | with Incentives ** |  | nt \#\# |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | \$ |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | \$ | - |

Current Projected Year ARR
Current Projected Year ARR w/ Incentive Current Projected Year Incentive ARR

## CUMULATIVE HISTORY OF PROJECTED ANNUAL

CUMULATIVE RISTORY OF PROJECTED ANNUAL CUMULATIVE HISTORY OF
REVENUE REQUIREMENTS: NPOM EACH PRIOR YEARTH \& WTHOUT INCENTIVES) ARE位


Project Totals
** This is the total amount that needs to be reported to PJM for billing to all regions. \#\# This is the calculation of additional incentive revenue on projects deemed by the FERC to be eligible for an incentive return. This
additional incentive requirement is applicable for the life of this specific project. Each year the revenue requirement calculated for PJM should be incremented by the amount of the incentive revenue calculated for that year on this project.
 requirement in the year that the project goes into service has been annualized (shown at the full-year level) so that PJM will collect the correct monthly billings

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet K Supporting Calculation of TRUE-UP PJM RTEP Project Revenue Requirement Billed to Benefiting Zones
COMPANY NAME HERE
I. Calculate Return and Income Taxes with basis point ROE increase for Projects Qualified for Regional Billing.
. Determine 'R' with hypothetical basis point increase in ROE for Identified Projects
(True-Up TCOS, In 164)
Project ROE Incentive Adder
0.00\%

ROE with additional basis point incentive
0.00\% <==ROE Adder Cannot Exceed 100 Basis Points

Determine R (cost of long term debt, cost of preferred stock and equity percentage is from the True-Up TCOS, Ins 162 through164)

|  | $\underline{\%}$ | $\underline{\text { Cost }}$ | Weighted cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Long Term Debt | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Preferred Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.000 \%$ |
| Common Stock | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}=$ |
| $\underline{0.000 \%}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $0.000 \%$ |

B. Determine Return using 'R' with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects Rate Base (True-Up TCOS, In 78)

R (from A. above)
0.000\%

Return (Rate Base $\times$ R)
C. Determine Income Taxes using Return with hypothetical basis point ROE increase for Identified Projects.

Return (from B. above)
Effective Tax Rate (True-Up TCOS, In 126)
$0.00 \%$
ITC Adjustment
Income Taxes
II. Calculate Net Plant Carrying Charge Rate (Fixed Charge Rate or FCR) with hypothetical basis point ROE increase. A. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement less return and Income Taxes.

Annual Revenue Requirement (True-Up TCOS, In 1)
T.E.A. \& Lease Payments (True-Up TCOS, Lns 105 \& 106)

Return (True-Up TCOS, In 134)
Incomel Reve (rue-Up TCOS, In 133)
B. Determine Annual Revenue Requirement with Return and Taxes

Annual Revenue Requirement, Leqs TEA Chargith hypothetical basis point increase in ROE
Return (from I.B. above)
Income Taxes (from I.C. above)
Depreciation (True-Up TCOS, In 111)
Annual Rev. Req, w/ Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciation
C. Determine FCR with hypothetical basis point ROE increase

Net Transmission Plant (True-Up TCOS, In 48)
Annual Revenue Requirement, with Basis Point ROE increase
FCR with Basis Point increase in ROE
Annual Rev. Req, w/Basis Point ROE increase, less Dep.
FCR with Basis Point ROE increase, less Depreciatio
FCR less Depreciation (True-Up TCOS, In 9)
III. Calculation of Composite Depration Rate

Calculation of Composite Depreciation Rate
Transmission Plant @ Beginning of Historic Period () (P.206, In 58,(b)):
ransmission Plant @ End of Historic Period () (P.207, In 58,(g)):
Subtotal
Average Transmission Plant Balance for
Annual Depreciation Rate (True-Up TCOS, In 111)

| SUMMARY OF TRUED-UP ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR RTEP PROJECTS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \|r | Rev Require |
| TRUE-UP YEAR | Historic Year Incentives |

SUMMARY OF TRUED-UP ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR RTEP PROJECTS TRUE-UP YEAR $\begin{array}{r}\text { Rev Requr } \\ \text { Historic Year }\end{array}$

As Projected in Prior Year WS J
Actual after True-up
True-up of ARR For Historic Year

COMPANY NAME HERE Worksheet K - ATRR TRUE-UP Calculation for PJM Projects Charged to Benefiting Zones
IV. Determine the Revenue Requirement, and Additional Revenue Requirement for facilities receiving incentives. A. Base Plan Facilities

Facilities receiving incentives accepted by FERC in Docket No.
(e.g. ER05-925-000)

Project
Description:

| Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment Service Year (yyyy) Service Month (112) |  | Current Year |  |  |  |  | Historic Year |
|  |  | ROE increase accepted by FERC (Basis Points) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FCR w/o incentives, less |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | depreciation |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
|  |  | FCR w/incentiv | pproved for | e facilities, |  |  |  |
| Useful life |  | less dep. |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |
| CIAC (Yes or No) | No | Annual Depreciation Expense |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment | Beginning | Depreciation | Ending | Average | Req't. | Req't. | Rev. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Requirement |
| Year | Balance | Expense | Balance | Balance | Incentives | Incentives ** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |
| - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |
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| Historic Year Rev Require W Incentives Incentive Amounts <br> Prior Yr    <br> Projected    <br> Prior Yr True-    | - | - | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Up <br> True-Up <br> Adjustment | - | - | - |

TRUE UP OF PROJECT REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR YEAR CUMULATIVE HISTORY OF TRUED-UP ANNUAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

INPUT TRUE-UP ARR (WITH \& WITHOUT INCENTIVES) FROM EACH PRIOR YEAR TEMPLATE BELOW TO MAINTAIN HISTORY OF TRUED-UP ARRS OVER THE LIFE TEMPLATE BELO
OF THE PROJECT
OF THE PROJECT.

| RTEP |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Projected Rev. <br> Req't. From <br> Prior Year WS J <br> w/o Incentives | RTEP <br> RTEP Rev <br> Req't True- <br> up <br> w/o <br> Incentives | Projected Rev. <br> Req't.From <br> Prior Year WS <br> J <br> with <br> Incentives ** | RTEP Rev <br> Req't True-up <br> with <br> Incentives ** | True-up of <br> Incentive <br> with <br> Incentives ** |
|  | $\$$ |  | $\$$ | $\$$ |
|  | - |  | - |  |
|  | - |  | $\$$ | $\$$ |

Project Totals
** This is the total amount that needs to be reported to PJM for billing
to all regions.
\#\# This is the calculation of additional incentive revenue on projects deemed by the FERC to be eligible for an ncentive return. This
additional incentive requirement is applicable for the life of this specific project. Each year the revenue requiremen calculated for PJM
should be incremented by the amount of the incentive revenue calculated for that year on this
project.

Calculation of Projected Interest Expense Based on Outstanding Debt at Year End

| $(A)$ | (B) | (C) | (D) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Line <br> Number |
| :---: |

Issuance
Principle Outstanding
Interest Rate
$\frac{\text { Annual Expense }}{\text { (See Note S on }}$
Long Term Debt (FF1.p.
256-257.h)

41 Eligible Hedging Gains and Losses (WS M,
Ln 35, (E))
Total Projected Capital Structure Balance for Historic Year+1 (Projected TCOS, Ln 165)
43 Financial Hedge Recovery Limit - Five Basis Points of Total Capital
0.0005

Limit of Recoverable Amount
45

## Installment Purchase Contracts (FF1.p. 256-257.h, a)



Premium, \& Expenses:
Auction Fees
FF1.p. 256 \& 257.Lines Described as Fees
Allowable Hedge Amortization (See Ln 45 Below)
Amort of Debt Discount
and Expenses
Amort of Debt
Premimums (Enter Negative)

Reacquired Debt:


FF1.p. 117.65.c
0.00\% $\square$

## Preferred Shares <br> Outstanding <br> $\frac{\text { Preferred Stock }}{\text { Preferred Shares }}$ <br> (FF1.p. 250-251)

Recoverable Hedge Amortization (Lesser of Ln 41 or Ln 44)


AEP East Companies
Transmission Cost of Service Formula Rate
COMPANY NAME HERE
Worksheet M Supporting Calculation of Capital Structure and Weighted Average Cost of Capital Based on Average of Balances At 12/31/Historic Year-1 \& 12/31/Historic Year

| (A) | (B) | (C) <br> Balances @ 12/31/Historic | (D) <br> Balances @ 12/31/Historic | (E) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line |  | Year | Year-1 | Average |
| Development of Average Balance of |  |  |  |  |
| Common Equity |  |  |  |  |
|  | Proprietary Capital (112.16.c\&d) |  |  | - |
|  | Less Preferred Stock (Ln 55 Below) | 0 |  | - |
|  | Less Account 216.1 (112.12.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
|  | Less Account 219.1 (112.15.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 5 | Average Balance of Common Equity |  |  | - |
| Development of Cost of Long Term Debt Based on Average Outstanding |  |  |  |  |
| Balance |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Bonds (112.18.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 7 | Less: Reacquired Bonds (112.19.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 8 | LT Advances from Assoc. Companies (11 | (112.20.c\&d) |  | - |
| 9 | Senior Unsecured Notes (112.21.c\&d) |  |  | 0 |
| 10 | Less: Fair Value Hedges (See Note on L | 2 below) |  | 0 |
| 11 | Total Average Debt | - - |  |  |
|  | NOTE: The balance of fair value hedg | on outstanding | g term debt ar | to be excluded fr |
| 12 | included in the formula's capital struc | . (Column H of | FF1) |  |
| 13 | Annual Interest Expense for Historic Y |  |  |  |
| 14 | Interest on Long Term Debt (256-257.33 |  |  |  |
| 15 | Less: Total Hedge Gain/Expense Accum | ed from p 256-25 | col. (i) of FERC | orm 1 included in |
| 16 | Plus: Allowed Hedge Recovery From Ln | below. |  | - |
| 17 | Amort of Debt Discount \& Expense (117. |  |  |  |
| 18 | Amort of Loss on Reacquired Debt (117. |  |  |  |
| 19 | Less: Amort of Premium on Debt (117.65 |  |  |  |
| 20 | Less: Amort of Gain on Reacquired Debt | 7.66.c) |  |  |
| 21 | Total Interest Expense (Ln 14 + Ln 17 | n 18 - Ln 19 - Ln |  | - |
| 22 | Average Cost of Debt for Historic Yea | n 21/Ln 11) |  | 0.00\% |

CALCULATION OF RECOVERABLE HEDGE GAINS/LOSSES
23 NOTE: The net amount of hedging gains or losses recorded in account 427 to be recovered in this formula rate should be limited to the effective portion of pre-issuance cash flow hedges that are amortized over the life of the underlying debt issuances. The recovery of a net loss or passback of a net gain will be limited to five basis points of the total Capital Structure. Amounts related to the ineffective portion of pre-issuance hedges, cash settlements of fair value hedges issued on Long Term Debt, post-issuance cash flow hedges, and cash flow hedges of variable rate debt issuances are not recoverable in this formula and are to be recorded in the "Excludable" column below. Amortization Period


## AEP East Companies

## Historic Year FF1 Balances

Worksheet $\mathbf{N}$ - Gains (Losses) on Sales of Plant Held For Future Use
Note: Gain or loss on plant held for future are recorded in accounts 411.6 or 411.7 respectively. Sales will be functionalized based on the description of that asset. Sales of Sales of plant held for future use related to generation or distribultion will not be included in the formula.
(A)

| Line | Date | Property Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |

(C)

Function (T) or (G)
$\mathrm{T}=$ Transmission
$G=$ General
(D)

Basis
(E)

Proceeds (F)
(Gain) / Loss
(G) Functional
0.000\%
0.000\%
 (Gain) / Loss

AEP East Companies
Cost of Service Formula Rate Using Historic Year FF1 Balances
Worksheet O - Calculation of Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions Expenses Allocable to Transmission Service
COMPANY NAME HERE
Total AEP East Operating Company PBOP Settlement Amount
Allocation of PBOP Settlement Amount for Historic
Year:
Total Company Amount

| Line\# | Actual Expense  <br> Company (Including AEPSC <br> Billed OPEB) | Ratio of Company Actual to Total | Allocation of PBOB Recovery Allowance | Labor Allocator for Historic Year | Actual Expense | Allowable Expense | One Year Functional Expense (Over)/Under |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (A) <br> (Line 14) | (B)=(A)/Total (A) | (C) $=(\mathrm{B})$ * | (D) | $(E)=(A) *$ ( $)^{\text {( }}$ | (F) $=(\mathrm{C})$ * (D) | $(\mathrm{G})=(\mathrm{E})-(\mathrm{F})$ |  |  |
| 1 | APCo | 0.00\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | I\&M | 0.00\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | KPCo | 0.00\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | KNGP | 0.00\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | OPCo | 0.00\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | WPCo | 0.00\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Sum of Lines 1 to 8 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Detail of Actual PBOP Expenses to be Removed in Coside | f Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | APCo |  | I\&M | KPCo | KNGSPT | OPCo | WPCo | $\frac{\text { EEP East }}{\text { Total }}$ |
| 9 10 | Direct Charged PBOP Expense per Actuarial Report Additional PBOP Ledger Entries (from Company Records) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Medicare Subsidy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Net Company Expense (Ln $9+\operatorname{Ln} 10+\operatorname{Ln} 11)$ | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |
| 13 | PBOP Expenses From AEP Service Corporation (from Company Records) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 14 | Company PBOP Expense (Ln $12+\operatorname{Ln} 13)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

## Worksheet - P CALCULATION OF

TOTAL WEIGHTED AVERAGE DEPRECIATION RATES
FOR TRANSMISSION PLANT PROPERTY ACCOUNT
EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009
FOR MULTIPLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
APPALACHIAN POWER COMPANY


## GENERAL NOTES:

APCo falls under the authority of Virginia, West Virginia and the FERC. Therefore APCo's rates are a composite of the jurisdictions under which it operates. Each jurisdictions' rate is multiplied by an allocation factor, and the product for each jurisdiction is added with the other jurisdictions to derive the composite rate for the company.

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiple-state companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

Worksheet - P CALCULATION OF

TOTAL WEIGHTED AVERAGE DEPRECIATION RATES
FOR TRANSMISSION PLANT PROPERTY ACCOUNT
EFFECTIVE AS OF 4/1/2012
FOR MULTIPLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

|  | IndiANA |  |  |  | MICHIGAN |  |  | FERC WHOLESALE |  |  | COMPANY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PLANT ACCT. | (1) <br> IURC <br> RATES | ALLOCATION FACTOR (4) | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE | (2) MPSC APPROVED RATES | ALLOCATION FACTOR (4) | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE | (3) <br> FERC <br> RATES | ALLOCATION FACTOR (4) | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE | WTD AVG. DEPREC. RATE |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Improvements | 350.1 | 1.1600\% | 0.654549 | 0.7593\% | 1.1700\% | 0.152798 | 0.1788\% | 1.1700\% | 0.192653 | 0.2254\% | 1.16\% |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | 1.1500\% | 0.654549 | 0.7527\% | 1.2700\% | 0.152798 | 0.1941\% | 1.2700\% | 0.192653 | 0.2447\% | 1.19\% |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | 1.4600\% | 0.654549 | 0.9556\% | 1.6500\% | 0.152798 | 0.2521\% | 1.6500\% | 0.192653 | 0.3179\% | 1.53\% |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | 1.4600\% | 0.654549 | 0.9556\% | 1.4400\% | 0.152798 | 0.2200\% | 1.4400\% | 0.192653 | 0.2774\% | 1.45\% |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | 2.1900\% | 0.654549 | 1.4335\% | 2.3900\% | 0.152798 | 0.3652\% | 2.3900\% | 0.192653 | 0.4604\% | 2.26\% |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | 1.2300\% | 0.654549 | 0.8051\% | 1.4500\% | 0.152798 | 0.2216\% | 1.4500\% | 0.192653 | 0.2793\% | 1.31\% |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | 1.4500\% | 0.654549 | 0.9491\% | 1.3900\% | 0.152798 | 0.2124\% | 1.3900\% | 0.192653 | 0.2678\% | 1.43\% |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | 1.3500\% | 0.654549 | 0.8836\% | 1.4600\% | 0.152798 | 0.2231\% | 1.4600\% | 0.192653 | 0.2813\% | 1.39\% |
| Trails \& Roads | 359.0 | 1.5000\% | 0.654549 | 0.9818\% | 1.4700\% | 0.152798 | 0.2246\% | 1.4700\% | 0.192653 | 0.2832\% | 1.49\% |

## (1) As approved in Indiana Case No. 43231.

## (2) As approved in Michigan Case No. U16801.

(3) FERC wholesale formula rate agreements specify that the depreciation rates in the formula rates change upon approval of MPSC rates in the Michigan jurisdiction
 These allocation factors reflect I\&M's 12 monthly Coincident Peaks during test year of the most recent rate case.
GENERAL NOTES:
The rates for each AEP company have been approved by their respective regulatory commissions.
The rates for each AEP company have been approved by their respective regulatory commissions. jurisdiction is added with the other jurisdictions to derive the composite rate, for the rates are

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiple-state companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

# AEP EAST COMPANIES <br> PJM FORMULA RATE <br> WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES <br> EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009 <br> FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES <br> <br> KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY 

 <br> <br> KINGSPORT POWER COMPANY}

|  | PLANT <br> ACCT. | RATES <br> Note 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | $2.10 \%$ |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | $2.57 \%$ |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | $1.91 \%$ |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | $4.20 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | $2.50 \%$ |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | Note 2 |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | Note 2 |
| Composite Transmission Depreciation Rate |  | $\mathbf{2 . 5 9 \%}$ |

## Reference:

Note 1: Rates Approved In Tennessee Regulatory Authority Case No. U-84-7308.

Note 2: Kingsport Power Company does not have investment in plant accounts 357 or 358. Therefore, there are no depreciation rates approved for these plant accounts.

## General Note

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.


## Reference:

Note 1: Rates Approved in Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 91-066.

## General Note:

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

## PJM FORMULA RATE

WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES
EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2012
FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES
OHIO POWER COMPANY

|  | RATES <br> NLANT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ACCT. |

## Reference:

Note 1: These are the weighted average of the depreciation rates in effect for
Columbus Southern Power and Ohio Power prior to the merger of Columbus
Southern into Ohio Power

## General Note:

Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.

## AEP EAST COMPANIES

## PJM FORMULA RATE

## WORKSHEET P - TRANSMISSION DEPRECIATION RATES <br> EFFECTIVE AS OF 1/1/2009 <br> FOR SINGLE JURISDICTION COMPANIES <br> WHEELING POWER COMPANY

|  | PLANT <br> ACCT. | RATES <br> Note 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TRANSMISSION PLANT |  |  |
| Structures \& Improvements | 352.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Station Equipment | 353.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Towers \& Fixtures | 354.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Poles \& Fixtures | 355.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Overhead Conductors | 356.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Underground Conduit | 357.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Underground Conductors | 358.0 | $2.70 \%$ |
| Trails \& Roads | 359.0 | $2.70 \%$ |

Note 1: Rates Approved in WV Public Service Commission Case No. PSC 90-243-E-42T.
General Note:
Per the terms of the settlement in this case, AEP will make a 205 filing whenever a company's rates are changed by their commission(s), or if the methodology to calculate the jurisdictional allocator in multiplestate companies changes. Changes in the allocation factors will not necessitate a 205 filing.


[^0]:    1 AEPSC and the AEP East Operating Companies are collectively referred to as "AEP."
    ${ }^{2}$ See American Electric Power Service Corp., 133 FERC ๆ 61,007 (2010).
    3 See American Electric Power Service Corp., 124 FERC ๆ 61,306 (2008).
    4 See n.2.

